Longest Common Subsequence

Longest Common Subsequence

Subsequence. Given a string $S = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$, a subsequence of S is any string $P = p_1 \dots p_k$, such that:

- 1. For all $1 \le i \le k$, $p_i = s_j$ for some j > 0;
- 2. If p_i is s_j and p_{i+1} is s_l , then l > j.

Informally, a subsequence P of string S can be obtained by removing zero or more characters from S and preserving the order of the characters not removed.

Example. Let S = ATCATTCGC. Then, ATC, AAT, ATATG and CCCG are all subsequences of S, while AAA, ATTA and CCT are not.

Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) Problem. The Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) problem is specified as follows: given two strings S and T, find the longest string P which is a substring for both S and T.

Brute-Force Solution.

A naïve algorithm for solving LCS is:

- 1. Enumerate all possible subsequences of S.
- 2. For each subsequence of S check if it is also a subsequence of T.
- 3. Keep track of the longest common subsequence found and its length.

Analysis. A string $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ has 2^n possible subsequences (each subsequence is essentially a choice of which characters are **in** and which characters are **out**). Some of these subsequences are not unique, but in a brute-force algorithm, there is no way to know that ahead of time. Checking if a string $T = t_1 \dots t_m$ contains a subsequence $P = p_1 \dots p_k$ can be done in O(m + k) = O(m) (if k > m, the answer is an automatic "no") time. Thus, the overall complexity of the brute-force algorithm is $O(m2^n)$.

1

Characterization of a Longest Common Subsequence

To help us develop an efficient algorithm for LCS, we need to be able to understand what a longest common subsequence of two sequences looks like. The following theorem provides the key idea for an efficient algorithm:

Theorem. Let $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $T = t_1 \dots t_m$ be two strings and let $P = p_1 \dots p_k$ be their longest common subsequence. Then:

- 1. if $s_n = t_m$, then $p_1 \dots p_{k-1}$ is the longest common subsequence of $s_1 \dots s_{n-1}$ and $t_1 \dots t_{m-1}$;
- 2. if $s_n \neq t_m$ and $p_k \neq s_n$, then P is the longest common subsequence of $s_1 \dots s_{n-1}$ and T.
- 3. if $s_n \neq t_m$ and $p_k \neq t_m$, then P is the longest common subsequence of S and $t_1 \dots t_{m-1}$.

Given $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $T = t_1 \dots t_m$, let c[i, j] (for $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le m$) represent the length of the maximal longest subsequence of $s_1 \dots s_i$ and $t_1 \dots t_j$. For the sake of consistency we set c[0, 0] = 0.

The theorem suggests the following approach to determining the length of the LCS of S and T:

- Build the matrix c[i, j] from c[0, 0] all the way to c[n, m]. c[n, m] will contain the length of the LCS of S and T.
- Make sure that the construction of the matrix allows for a fast determination of the actual LCS.

Building the matrix c[i, j]. Using the theorem above, we can derive the following about c[i, j]:

• if $s_i = t_j$ then c[i, j] = c[i - 1, j - 1] + 1.

If the two last characters of the substrings agree, then the LCS extends to include this character.

• if $s_i \neq t_j$ then $c[i, j] = \max(c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j])$.

Essentially, if the last characters of the substring differ, then the LCS of $s_1 \ldots s_i$ and $t_1 \ldots t_j$ is also the LCS of one of the two strings and the other string without the last character.

We represent this formally as the following recurrence relation:

$$c[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j = 0; \\ c[i-1,j-1] + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0; s_i = t_j; \\ \max(c[i,j-1], c[j,i-1]) & \text{if } i, j > 0; s_i \neq t_j \end{cases}$$

Essentially, c[i, j] can be determined if we know the values in the following cells: c[i-1, j-1], c[i, j-1] and c[i-1, j]. We can set c[0, j] = 0 and c[i, 0] = 0 for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. This makes it possible to compute c[1, 1], which, in turn, makes it possible to compute c[1, 2] and c[2, 1], and so on.

"Remembering" the LCS. On each step (i, j) of computation of c[i, j], we can determine which of the three cells c[i - 1, j - 1] (diagonally above and to the left), c[i, j - 1] (to the left) or c[i - 1, j] (above) is the one whose value is used in computing c[i, j].

We create a table u[i, j]. In cell s[i, j] we store the "pointer" to the cell from which c[i, j] was constructed. We use symbols \leftarrow , \uparrow and \diagdown to denote the following cases:

| u[i,j] Symbol | s_i vs. t_j | Table condition |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | $s_i = t_j$ | N/A |
| ↑ | $s_i \neq t_j$ | $c[i-1,j] \ge c[i,j-1]$ |
| \leftarrow | $s_i \neq t_j$ | c[i, j-1] > c[i-1, j] |

Proposition. There is a path from s[n, m] to s[0, 0]. The LCS of $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $T = t_1 \dots t_m$, given a constructed matrix u[i, j] can be found by combining all s_i characters for all locations [i, j], where $u[i, j] = \mathbb{N}$.

Dynamic Programming Algorithm for LCS

To find the LCS of two strings, we need to construct the two matrices: c[i, j] and s[i, j]. The following **iterative** version of the algorithm can do it.

```
Algorithm LCS(S = s_1 \dots s_n, T = t_1 \dots t_m)
begin
  declare c[0..n, 0..m];
  declare u[0..n, 0..m];
  for i = 0 to n do
    c[i, 0] := 0;
  end for
  for j = 1 to m do
   c[0, j] := 0;
  end for
  for i = 1 to n do
    for j = 1 to m do
     if s_i = t_j then
       c[i,j] := c[i-1,j-1] + 1;
       u[i,j] := \mathbb{N};
     else
       if c[i-1,j] \ge c[i,j-1] then
        c[i,j] := c[i-1,j];
        u[i,j] := \uparrow;
       else
        c[i,j] := c[i,j-1];
        u[i,j] := \leftarrow;
       end if
     end if
    end for
  end for
  LCSLength:= c[n, m];
  LCS:= LCSRecover(S, T, u[]);
  return (LCS, LCSLength);
end
```

The algorithm LCSRecover takes as input two strings, S and T^1 and the matrix u[i, j] that encodes how c[i, j] was filled, and returns back the LCS of S and T. The algorithm works as follows (in the algorithm below, + on string values is a concatenation operation).

Algorithm LCSREcover $(S = s_1 \dots s_n, T = t_1 \dots t_n, u[0..n, 0..m])$ begin P := "";i := n;j := m; $P := s_i + P;$ while i > 0 and j > 0 do if u[i,j] = then $P := s_i + P;$ i := i - 1;j := j - 1;else if $u[i, j] = \leftarrow$ then j := j - 1;// u[i,j] = ↑ else i := i - 1;end if end if end while return P; end

Analysis. Algorithm LCS contains a double nested loop that iterates $n \cdot m$ times. Each loop iteration completes in O(1).

On each step of the main loop of the algorithm LCSRecover either i or j gets decreased (and on some steps, both i and j are decreased). This means that the main loop of LCSRecover runs no more than m + n times, and the algorithm itself has O(m + n) runtime complexity.

As a result, algorithm LCS has O(nm) + O(n + m) = O(nm) runtime complexity.

¹It actually needs only one string, since it returns the common sequence.