Edit (Levenstein) Distance...

Edit Distance

Edit Distance. Given two strings $S = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $T = t_1 \dots t_m$, the edit distance between S and T is defined as the smallest number of atomic edit operations necessary to transform S into T. The atomic edit operations are

- Character insertion. An insertion of a single character from the alphabet into any position in the string.
- Character deletion. A removal of any character from the string.
- Character replacement. A replacement of any character in the string with another character from the alphabet.

Example. Given a word "cat", the following words have an edit distance of 1 from it:

• "at", obtained from "cat" by deleting its first character:

• "cast", obtained from "cat" by inserting a character "s" into the third position of the string:

ca_t	
X	
cast	

• "vat", obtained from "cat" by replacing the first character with "v":

cat X|| vat

Computing the Edit Distance. We want to develop a dynamic programming algorithm for computing the edit distance. In preparation for this, we will consider using a data structure similar to the one we used when solving the LCS problem.

Let c[i, j] be the edit distance between the prefixes $S_i = s_1 \dots s_i$ and $T_j = t_1 \dots t_j$ of the strings S and T. Our algorithm will construct the table c[i, j]. When completed, c[n, m] will contain the edit distance between S and T.

The construction of c[i, j] is guided by the following observations:

- c[0,0] = 0. For the sake of consistency, S_0 and T_0 are empty strings. The edit distance between two empty strings is 0.
- c[0, j] = j for all $1 \le j \le m$. The edit distance between an empty string and any non-empty string of length j is j: the string can be constructed via j consecutive insertions.
- c[i, 0] = i: see above (the empty string is constructed from $s_1 \dots s_i$ via *i* consecutive deletions).
- If $s_i = t_j$, then c[i, j] = c[i 1, j 1]. If the last characters of the two prefixes coincide, then the edit distance between them is the same as the edit distance between the prefixes without the last characters.
- If $s_i \neq t_j$, then an atomic edit is needed to match the last characters of the strings S_i and T_j . We must select one of the three possible atomic edits (insertion, deletion, or replacement). When selecting which one to use, we basically are reducing computing the edit distance between S_i and T_j to:
 - 1. computing the edit distance between S_{i-1} and T_{j-1} if replacement is chosen.
 - 2. computing the edit distance between S_{i-1} and T_j if deletion is chosen.
 - 3. computing the edit distance between S_i and T_{j-1} if insertion is chosen.

These insights can be properly encoded as follows:

$$c[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j = 0\\ i & \text{if } j = 0\\ j & \text{if } i = 0\\ c[i-1,j-1] & \text{if } i, j \ge 1 \text{ and } s_i = t_j\\ \min(c[i-1,j-1],c[i-1,j],c[i,j-1]) + 1 & \text{if } i, j \ge 1 \text{ and } s_i \neq t_j \end{cases}$$

Algorithm for Edit Distance Computation

Using the formula derived above, we can write the following algorithm for computing the table c[i, j]. The algorithm returns c[n, m], which contains the edit distance between the input strings S and T.

```
Algorithm EditDistance(S = s_1 \dots s_n, T = t_1 \dots t_m)
\overline{\mathbf{begin}}
  declare c[0..n, 0..m];
  for i = 0 to n do
    c[i, 0] := 0;
  end for
  for j = 1 to m do
    c[0, j] := 0;
  end for
  for i = 1 to n do
    for j = 1 to m do
     if s_i = t_j then
       c[i,j] := c[i-1,j-1];
     else
       c[i,j] := \min(c[i-1,j], c[i,j-1], c[i-1,j-1]) + 1;
     end if
    end for
  end for
  return c[n,m];
\quad \text{end} \quad
```

Analysis. The double nested loop executes $n \cdot m$ times. Each iteration runs in O(1). Therefore, the algorithmic complexity of the EditDistance algorithm is O(nm).