CSC 300 Professional Responsibilities

- Instructor: Clark Savage Turner
- Office: 14-222, Phone: 756 6133
- Office Hours (tentative):
 - Tuesday 9:10 12 noon (except 10 April!)
 - Weds. and Fri. 1:10 2 pm
 - and by appointment
- Email: <u>csturner@calpoly.edu</u>
 - don't count on email (or cellphones!)
 - watch for spam filtering (use calpoly accounts)
- Web: www.csc.calpoly.edu/~csturner

CSC 300 Spring, 2007

Prerequisites

- Prerequisite for this class
 - CSC 307 or CSC 309
 - very limited exceptions, only be prior approval
- Make sure you are on the roll,
 - and you know the drop dates

General Course Goals

- Spot relations between technical and social realms
 - identify social issues and tradeoffs inherent in professional computing activities
 - understand basic ethical principles that apply to technical decisions (tradeoffs)
 - discover and explain different points of view regarding ethics of tradeoffs
 - utilize the SE Code (and other principles) in ethical analysis of tradeoffs
 - clearly communicate basic ethical issues and analyses to a technical and nontechnical audience

General Grading Thoughts

- How to get the grade you want
 - *consistently*
 - develop research skills
 - understand basic current issues in professional computing
 - know basic ethical principles that apply
 - develop critical thinking
 - look at computing in a situated context
 - a broad view of computing as a human activity
 - develop communication skills
 - writing effectiveness (spelling, grammar, clarity and style)
 - NOTE: this is the ONLY way I can evaluate your progress!

Grading (cont'd)

- "Correctness" not really at issue
 - your ability to spot issues, make alternative arguments and analyze to a logical conclusion is "enough"
 - "satisficing" solutions (not necessarily optimal!)
 - compare this with software "formal" correctness
 - or "nonfunctional" requirements (see Winter exam!)
- Perspective on grades
 - evaluation is part of life
 - but NOT all of it!

Grade Calculation

- Requirements (see webpage)
 - Midterm 30%
 - Final 20%
 - 20 page Research Paper 20%
 - Formal Presentation 20%
 - Lab Reports and Lab Presentations 10%
 - You must receive a passing grade in each activity
- Note: 2 minute talks, class participation +/- 10% in addition to your normal class grades

Course Basics

• Required Text:

- None! You will read several scholarly articles and consult other references throughout the course
- Recommended readings:
 - Johnson, Computer Ethics; Baase, A Gift of Fire;
 Martin, Schinzinger, Ethics in Engineering; Yourdon,
 Death March; Landaur, The Trouble With Computers;
 Simon, The Sciences of the Artificial
- Very important to writing
 - *Turabian*, A Manual for Writers; *Strunk and White*; The Elements of Style

CSC 300 Spring, 2007

Class Ground Rules

- Open and respectful behavior -
 - strive to truly "understand" the other side
 - even if offensive to you personally
 - give the "other side" respect and courtesy, you will receive the same when you deliver your own argument
 - this should be through feedback indicating you "understand" (empathize?) with the opposing argument
 - be on time to class, prepared to participate, current with class readings and labs

First Assignment and Reading

- Read SE Code of Ethics
 - Linked from my webpage and everywhere on the Web
 - Possible pop QUIZ on the code during the second week of classes.
 - You need to read and understand the major topics and some details
 - Be prepared to discuss a few in detail
- Read Weinberg's "Trans Science" paper in its entirety week 1
 - 4-5 page reaction paper due last class of second week
 - *NOT a summary*, write analysis!
 - critical, supportive or both
 - you may choose a particular angle
 - » show me you've read and thought about it

A simple approach to a reading...

- WHY did the author write it?
 - what is author's "pedigree"?
- What is in the Preface, TOC, Appendices, Index, glossary, cover photo, reviews?
 - note structure (chapters or sections)
- What appears to be the main "theme"
 - related to title? hints?
- Read it in earnest!
 - discuss it with your colleagues (especially from other majors!)

Weinberg Reaction Paper Mechanics

- 1. Facts (unbiased) what is the book about?
 - no conclusions, no judgments, lots of citations
- **2. Issue** list what questions are raised?
 - choose only one for your focus
 - in the form of a question (yes/no form is best!)
 - note why it is important to think about this question
- 3. Others' Arguments about the issue
 - without comment as though true!

4. Your analysis

- where *you* analyze old arguments, synthesize or create new ones
- *now* you make reasoned judgments based on known principles
- you *answer your question* here

Reaction Paper Notes

- Use headings:
 - Facts, Issue, Arguments, Analysis, Conclusion
- Cite sources when used (absolutely mandatory!)
 - any statement of fact or about the state of the world requires a source
 - quotes short, indented, single spaced, citation
 - even cite conversations with colleagues (a good thing!)
 - form of citation: [number] in text
 - then numbered list in Bibliography
- Spelling, grammar and style are important
 - I will not read / grade a poorly written paper

Assignment and Reading (cont'd)

- First "2 minute talk" this week in lab
 - you will have a minimum of two this term
 - your choice of topic broad based
 - 2 minute limit and no reading from a script
 - second talk involves code / design ethical issues
 - discuss a technical artifact and critical tradeoffs

2 Minute Talk topics (and finding a Research Topic!)

- A few sources for topics -
 - a LUG meeting
 - Barnes and Noble (buy 2600 magazine)
 - slashdot.org
 - the Wall Street Journal
 - Friedman's "The Earth is Flat"
 - your own work experience

Lab 1 Assignment

- Prepare 1-2 page "future alumnus" report
 - give me a vision of what you hope to achieve in the 10 years beyond graduation.
 - where will you live?
 - what will you be doing?
 - what sort of additional training did you require to get there?
 - what will you have achieved?
 - include a recent photo at the top
 - due at the end of last lab of week 1

Preliminary Issues to Think About...

- What is a "Professional?"
 - distinguish an "employee"
- What are "Responsibilities?"
 - what are "ethics?"
 - distinguish "laws"
 - who cares?
 - do physicians (or attorneys) collectively care about ethics?

Responsibility?

- What are YOU doing here?
 - Why do we get to do computing?
 - Who pays for this?
 - Who suffers costs / enjoys benefits?
 - Who has "authority" to direct, restrict, guide?
 - What are the issues of consequence?

Computer "Science" ??

- Define "science"
 - consider theme central to "The Structure of Scientific Revolution" by Thomas Kuhn
 - *natural* science
- Is computer science a "science" activity?
 - how about "Software Engineering?"
- What are the Sciences of the Artificial?
 - "design science"
 - see Herb Simon's work and others built on it

Karl Popper's falsifiability criterion (epistemology)

- Any respectable scientific theory must be falsifiable, subject to showing it is untrue
 - "God is love" is not falsifiable
 - not a perjorative criteria
 - there are different ways of "knowing"
 - "The new Cal Poly IP policy explicitly favors open source" is falsifiable
 - so it can be "tested" for its truth objectively
 - just like the rules for Software Requirements

What is the Focus of a Computing Professional?

- Duty to meet a "contract"or "solve a problem?"
 - what are some implications for society for the various stakeholders?

New Features Computing Professionals Must Address?

- Systems Engineering (see Jackson!)
 - we are the "general engineers?"
 - process control example
- Emergent behavior (see Leveson!)
 - pseudo chaotic behavior of deterministic systems?
- Digital vs. Continuous models of the physical world (see Brooks!)

Intro Cases to think about

- Final exam on professor's display
 you are invited but unobserved
- Internet gambling program flaw
 - illegal to gamble in your state
- Avionics control systems contract
 - impossible to meet software requirements
- Wardriving and mapping to put on web