Theory of Normal Forms Examples

Database Anomalies

Consider the following relation Purchases:

Purchases(ReceiptNo,Ordinal, PDate, Customer, Item, Price)

Each tuple of the relation stores information about a single item purchased by a customer. The information stored is the receipt number, the position of the item on the receipt (item scanned first has Ordinal = 1, next item — Ordinal = 2, etc.), the date of the purchase, the name of the customer, the name of the purchased item and its price. Consider the following fragment of the Purchases table.

| ReceiptNo | Ordinal | PDate | Customer | ltem | Price |
|-----------|---------|------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| 1234 | 1 | 01/21/2008 | "Fausto Klosner" | "Chocolate Chip Cookie" | 0.95 |
| 1234 | 2 | 01/21/2008 | "Fausto Klosner" | "Rye Bread" | 2.50 |
| 3042 | 1 | 01/23/2008 | "Susie Gladney" | "Rye Bread" | 2.50 |
| 3042 | 2 | 01/23/2008 | "Susie Gladney" | "Opera Cake" | 15.00 |
| 3403 | 1 | 01/26/2008 | "Susie Gladney" | "Ganache Cookie" | 1.30 |
| 5612 | 1 | 01/26/2008 | "Dean Dews" | "Chocolate Chip Cookie" | 0.95 |
| 1564 | 1 | 01/21/2008 | "Fausto Klosner" | "Ganache Cookie" | 1.30 |
| 1564 | 2 | 01/21/2008 | "Fausto Klosner" | "Coffee" | 1.50 |

Example 1: Redundancy. Some information stored in the table Purchases is redundant. For example, the receipt number (ReceiptNo) uniquely identifies the date of purchase. Yet, the date of purchase is repeated in multiple tuples for the same receipt number. Similarly, the price is uniquely determined by the item purchased. This information also appears in many tuples.

Example 2: Update Anomaly. A database application program can execute an update:

UPDATE Purchases SET Price = Price+ .2 WHERE ReceiptNo = 1234 AND Ordinal = 2;

1

This update will make the price of "Rye Bread" purchased by "Fausto Klosner" on 01/21/2008 to 2.70. However, the price of the same item purchased by "Susie Gladney" on 01/23/2008 will remain 2.50.

Example 2: Insertion Anomaly. If Purchases is the only relational table maintained by the BAKERY application, the following anomaly may also occur. Suppose the bakery introduced a new product on the menu: "Bavarian Beer Bread"¹ The information of this product *cannot be inserted* in the Purchases table, until some customer actually purchases a loaf. (The reason for this is our inability to insert records whithout primary key attributes. In the Purchases table, as we now know, the (primary) key is ReceiptNo, Ordinal.)

Example 3: Deletion Anomaly. Suppose a database application executes the following statement:

DELETE FROM Purchases WHERE ReceiptNo = 3042;

The side effect of this deletion is that we no longer have information about the price of "Opera Cake" in our database.

Normal Forms

First Normal Form

Example 4: Non-atomic values. Consider a relational table Customer(Name, City, State, Phone). Suppose, some customers may have more than one phone. This can be, generally speaking represented as follows:

| Name | City | State | Phone |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| "Nichole Taves" | "Arroyo Grande" | "CA" | 8052342342 |
| | | | 8053343344 |
| "Gino Demont" | "Templeton" | "CA" | 8054323432 |
| "Dorothy Lovitt" | "Los Osos" | "CA" | 8056550055 |
| | | | 8054400440 |
| | | | 8057897897 |

This instance of the Customer table, however, is NOT in 1NF, because some of the cells in the Phone column contain multiple (non-atomic) values.

Example 5: Repeated attribute groups . Another approach to dealing with multiple phone numbers is to create as many PhoneN fields as necessary for the current isntance: Customer(Name, City, State, Phone1, Phone2, Phone3) will be the new schema for our instance:

| Name | City | State | Phone1 | Phone2 | Phone3 |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|
| "Nichole Taves" | "Arroyo Grande" | "CA" | 8052342342 | 8053343344 | NULL |
| "Gino Demont" | "Templeton" | "CA" | 8054323432 | NULL | NULL |
| "Dorothy Lovitt" | "Los Osos" | "CA" | 8056550055 | 8054400440 | 8057897897 |

This instance of the table is NOT in 1NF either. 1NF does not allow for repeated attrbutes. (Also, it violates the "no NULL values" condition).

Note, however, that if we were to determine that each customer may have up to three different types of phone numbers: office, home, and mobile, then, Customer(Name, City, State, HomePhone, OfficePhone, MobilePhone) does not have repeated attribute groups. It still is prone to NULL values, and it still may be better to decompose Customer to ensure 1NF:

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Yes},$ there is such a bread.

Customer(Name, City, State) CustomerPhone(Name, Type, Phone)

Second Normal Form

Example 6. Dependencies on incomplete keys Let us modify the Customer table: Customer(Name, Zipcode, City, State).

Assume for the moment that in this table, the key is Name, Zipcode. For this table, the following FD is asserted:

 $\mathsf{Zipcode}{\rightarrow}\mathsf{City},\mathsf{State}$

The left side of this FD is not a superkey. (in fact, it is not a key at all). Therefore, Customer is NOT in 2NF.

To convert Customer to 2NF, we decompose it into two tables:

Customer(Name, Zipcode) Locations(Zipcode, City, State)

Example 7. Consider the Purchases(ReceiptNo,Ordinal, PDate, Customer, Item, Price) table from Example 1. Recall that the functional dependencies asserted over this table are:

- 1. ReceiptNo \rightarrow Customer
- 2. ReceiptNo \rightarrow PDate
- 3. Item \rightarrow Price
- 4. ReceiptNo, Ordinal \rightarrow Item

Is Purchases in 2NF? If not, how can we modify the database, to restore Second Normal Form?

Third Normal Form

Example 8. Transitive functional dependencies. Consider the following table Books(ISBN, Title, Author, Year, Publisher, PubCity). A table may look as follows:

| ISBN | Title | Author | Year | Publisher | PubCity |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------|------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 0-914894-36-6 | Database Systems | Ullman | 1982 | Pittman Publishing | London |
| 0-201-74128-8 | Data Mining | Rogier | 2003 | Addison-Wesley | Boston |
| 0-321-33025-0 | Programming Languages | Sebesta | 2005 | Addison-Wesley | Boston |
| 0-13-17480-7 | Spatial Databases | Shekhar | 2003 | Prentice Hall | Upper Saddle River, NJ |
| 0-13-678012-1 | Programming Languages | Pratt | 1996 | Prentice Hall | Upper Saddle River, NJ |

We assert the following FDs on this table:

1. ISBN \rightarrow Title, Author, Year

- 2. ISBN \rightarrow Publisher
- 3. Title, Author, Year \rightarrow ISBN

4. Title, Author, Year \rightarrow Publisher

5. Publisher \rightarrow PubCity

We can derive from this set of FDs that Books has two keys: ISBN and $\mathsf{Title},\mathsf{Author},\mathsf{Year}.$

However, one attribute PubCity does not directly depend on any of the keys. Instead, it is functionally determined by Publisher, and in order to obtain FDs:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{ISBN} \to \mathsf{PubCity} \\ \mathsf{Title, Author, Year} \to \mathsf{PubCity} \end{array}$

we must use the transitivity rule. (more importantly, Publisher \rightarrow PubCity is an FD which does not contain a key on the left side).

We conclude that Books is NOT in **3NF**. To restore Third Normal Form, we decompose Books into two tables:

- Books(ISBN, Title, Author, Year, Publisher
- Publishers(Publisher, PubCity)

Example 9. Consider the Purchases(ReceiptNo,Ordinal, PDate, Customer, Item, Price) table from Example 1. Recall that the functional dependencies asserted over this table are:

- 1. ReceiptNo \rightarrow Customer
- 2. ReceiptNo \rightarrow PDate
- 3. Item \rightarrow Price
- 4. ReceiptNo, Ordinal \rightarrow Item

Is Purchases in **3NF**? If not, how can we modify the database, to restore Third Normal Form?

Boyce-Codd Normal Form

Example 10. 3NF but not BCNF. Consider the table Schedule(Classroom, Day, Course, Time, Instructor) which stores information about the classess offered by some college. A sample table may be:

| Classroom | Day | Course | Time | Instructor |
|-----------|-----|---------|-------|------------|
| 14-253 | Т | CSC 366 | 12:10 | Dekhtyar |
| 14-253 | R | CSC 366 | 12:10 | Dekhtyar |
| 14-253 | Μ | CSC 357 | 1:10 | Staley |
| 14-251 | Μ | CSC 309 | 1:10 | Fisher |

Suppose the following rules about the course schedule are observed at the college:

- No two classes may meet at the same time and at the same location on the same day of week.
- No professor may teach two different classes on the same day and time.

• No class is taught more than once by the same professor on any given day. (that is, Dekhtyar may teach two sections of CSC 366, but they must meet on different days).

Using this information, we assert the following functional dependencies:

- 1. Classroom, Day, Time \rightarrow Instructor, Course
- 2. Instructor, Course \rightarrow Classroom, Time

From this set of FDs, we observe that Schedule has (at least) two keys: Classroom, Day, Time and Instructor, Course, Day.

All attributes of this table are prime, therefore it is in 2NF and, it is also in 3NF, as the second FD has prime attributes on the right side.

It is, however, not in **BCNF**. **BCNF** requires **every** rule to have a superkey on the left side, and the second rule does not satisfy this condition.