

DNA Sequence Evaluation  
Part II

## More Codon Usage Bias

### Scaled $\chi^2$

$\chi^2$  **measure.** In statistics, the  $\chi^2$  statistic computes how different the distribution of values is from a uniform distribution.

Let  $d = c_1 \dots c_N$  be a DNA string in nucleotide alphabet, and let  $L$  be the total number of codons in  $d$  that are not Methionine or Tryptophan (the number does include the stop codons though).

Let  $a$  be a  $k$ -degenerate amino acid, and let  $L_a$  be the total number of codons coding for  $a$  in  $d$ . Let  $O_1, \dots, O_k$  be the number of occurrences of the  $k$  different codons for  $a$  in  $d$  ( $O_1 + \dots + O_k = L_a$ ).

$\chi^2$  **statistic for codon usage bias.** The  $\chi^2$  value of codon usage bias for the amino acid  $a$  in DNA string  $d$  is computed as follows [2, 1]:

$$\chi_a^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(O_i - E)^2}{E},$$

where

$$E = \frac{L_a}{k}$$

is the expected number of codon occurrences assuming no bias.

**Scaled  $\chi^2$ .** A **scaled  $\chi^2$**  statistic for codon usage bias for the amino acid  $a$  in DNA string  $d$  is

$$\hat{\chi}_a^2 = \frac{\chi_a^2}{L}.$$

**Range.** Smaller values of  $\chi^2$  and scaled  $\chi^2$  mean little or no codon usage bias. Larger values mean larger bias.

# Other Means of Evaluating DNA.

## Information Entropy

**Information Entropy.** Consider a set  $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_k$  of items, where  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  when  $i \neq j$ .

As  $Pr(s \in S_i)$  we denote the probability that a randomly chosen element  $s \in S$  will be from set  $S_i$ .

$$Pr(s \in S_i) = \frac{|S_i|}{|S|}.$$

The **entropy** of the set  $S$  w.r.t. the partition  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  is defined as follows:

$$entropy(S) = - \sum_{i=1}^k Pr(s \in S_i) \cdot \log_2(Pr(s \in S_i)).$$

Entropy is measured in **bits**.

(**Note:** In this computation, we assume that  $0 \cdot \log_2(0) = 0$ .)

**Properties of entropy.** The entropy of a *homogenous* dataset in which  $|S_i| = \frac{|S|}{k}$  for all sets  $S_i$   $\log_2 k$ , i.e., the number of bits necessary to represent  $k$ .

$$entropy(S) = - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{k} \cdot \log_2 \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) = - \log_2 \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{k} = \log_2 k$$

The entropy of a dataset where only one set  $S_i$  of the  $k$  sets is non-empty is 0.

$$entropy(S) = - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 0 \cdot \log_2 0 - 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 0.$$

**Entropy measures the impurity of data.** The higher the *entropy*, the more *impure* the data is.

For DNA sequence analysis, information entropy is used in the following way. Let  $d = c_1 \dots c_N$  be a DNA sequence fragment in a nucleotide alphabet. Let  $N_A$ ,  $N_T$ ,  $N_C$  and  $N_G$  be the total numbers of occurrence of nucleotides A, T, C and G respectively in  $d$  ( $N_A + N_T + N_C + N_G = N$ ). Then the entropy of the sequence  $d$  is computed as follows:

$$entropy(d) = - \left( \frac{N_A}{N} \cdot \log_2 \left( \frac{N_A}{N} \right) + \frac{N_C}{N} \cdot \log_2 \left( \frac{N_C}{N} \right) + \frac{N_T}{N} \cdot \log_2 \left( \frac{N_T}{N} \right) + \frac{N_G}{N} \cdot \log_2 \left( \frac{N_G}{N} \right) \right).$$

High entropy in a DNA sequence means higher sequence complexity. Lower entropy means lower sequence complexity. (The simplest DNA sequence is one that consists of a single nucleotide).

**Proposition.** Let  $d$  be a DNA sequence and  $\hat{d}$  be its reverse compliment. Then

$$entropy(d) = entropy(\hat{d}).$$

**Proof.** Let  $N_A, N_T, N_C, N_G$  be the numbers of occurrences of A, T, C and G in  $d$ , and  $\hat{N}_A, \hat{N}_T, \hat{N}_C, \hat{N}_G$  be the numbers of occurrences of A, T, C, G in  $\hat{d}$ . Since  $\hat{d}$  is a reverse complement of  $d$ :

$$\begin{aligned} N_A &= \hat{N}_T; N_T = \hat{N}_A; \\ N_C &= \hat{N}_G; N_G = \hat{N}_C. \end{aligned}$$

Using these equalities and plugging the values into the entropy formula, we obtain the desired result.

## Gene Content

**Gene content** of DNA is the name of a collection of measures that evaluate the frequency and the size of genes, their components (introns and exons) and the intergenic regions (regions of DNA between genes).

**Notation.** Let  $d = c_1 \dots c_N$  be a DNA string in a nucleotide alphabet. Let  $d = d_1 e_{11} i_{11} e_{12} i_{12} \dots e_{1s_1} d_2 \dots d_k g_{k1} \dots e_{ks_k} d_{k+1}$ , where

1.  $d_i$ s are non-coding intragenic regions,  $e_{lj}$  are *exons*, i.e., coding regions
2.  $e_{lj}$  is the  $j$ th exon of  $l$ th gene in  $d$
3.  $i_{lj}$  are *intron*, i.e., *non-coding DNA regions* separating exons of the same gene.

Let

$$N_e = |e_{11}| + |e_{12}| + \dots + |e_{ks_k}|$$

be the number of base pairs in the exons,

$$N_o = |d_1| + |d_2| + \dots + |d_{k+1}|$$

be the number of base pairs in the non-coding intragenic regions,

$$N_i = |i_{11}| + |i_{12}| + \dots + |i_{ks_{k-1}}|$$

be the number of base pairs in all introns,

$$N_{nc} = N_o + N_i$$

be the total number of non-coding base pairs in the DNA fragment, and, finally,

$$N_g = N_e + N_i$$

be the total length of genes in the DNA fragment<sup>1</sup>.

In density computations, **both genes** expressed on the top **and** the bottom strands are considered: so  $e_{lj}$ s in the notation above refer to **all** coding regions from both strands.

We let  $k$  represent the total number of genes in  $d$  and  $q$  be the total number of exons.

The following measures are used for tracking gene density.

---

<sup>1</sup>Note the difference between the notions of "length of a gene" and "length of all exons of a gene".

**Average gene size.** The average gene size,  $Avg_g(d)$  is computed as follows:

$$Avg_g = \frac{N_e + N_i}{k} = \frac{N_g}{k}.$$

**Average coding DNA sequence (CDS) size.** The average coding DNA sequence size,  $Avg_c(d)$ , only counts exon lengths in computations:

$$Avg_c(d) = \frac{N_e}{k}.$$

**Average exon size.** The average exon size,  $Avg_e(d)$ , uses  $q$ , the total number of exons, in the denominator:

$$Avg_e(d) = \frac{N_e}{q}.$$

**Average intron size.** A gene with  $l$  exons contains  $l - 1$  introns. A DNA sequence with  $k$  genes and  $q$  exons has  $p = q - k$  introns. The average intron size,  $Avg_i(d)$ , is computed as follows:

$$Avg_i(d) = \frac{N_i}{p} = \frac{N_i}{q - k}.$$

**Nucleotides to genes ratio.** This measure, denoted  $ratio(d)$  is defined as

$$ratio(d) = \frac{N}{k}.$$

Usually, it is measured in Kilo-base pairs per gene, so the exact computation would be:

$$ratio(d) = \frac{N}{1000 \cdot k}.$$

**Gene nucleotide fraction.** The gene nucleotide fraction of  $d$ , denoted  $frac_g(d)$ , is the percent of base pairs in the genes:

$$frac_g(d) = \frac{N_e + N_i}{N_e + N_i + N_{nc}} = \frac{N_g}{N_g + N_{nc}} = \frac{N_g}{N}$$

**Coding nucleotide fraction.** The coding nucleotide fraction of  $d$  is the percent of base pairs in the exons:

$$frac_e(d) = \frac{N_e}{N_e + N_i + N_{nc}} = \frac{N_e}{N}.$$

**Exon density.** The exon density of  $d$  is the total number of coding regions (exons) in  $d$  divided by the length of  $d$ :

$$density_e(d) = \frac{q}{N}.$$

**Gene density.** The gene density of  $d$  is the total number of genes in  $d$  divided by the length of  $d$ :

$$\text{density}_g(d) = \frac{k}{N}.$$

Since genes/coding regions typically span 1 – 10 Kbp (Kilo-base pairs), density is usually expressed as the number of genes per 10Kbp, 100Kbp, or 1Mbp. Alternatively, a reciprocal value can quantify average gene spacing: DNA length in nucleotides divided by the number of genes present:

$$\text{spacing}(d) = \frac{N}{k}.$$

**Relative gene coverage.** This measure, denoted  $\text{coverage}_g(d)$ , is the ratio of the average gene size and the total length of the DNA sequence:

$$\text{coverage}_g(d) = \frac{\text{Avg}_g(d)}{N} = \frac{N_g}{k \cdot N}.$$

**Relative exon coverage.** Same as relative gene coverage, but for exons only:

$$\text{coverage}_e(d) = \frac{\text{Avg}_e(d)}{N} = \frac{N_e}{k \cdot N}.$$

## References

- [1] Estuko Moriyama, (2003), Codon Usage, in *Encyclopedia of the Human Genome*, Macmillan publishers, Ltd.
- [2] D. Shields, P. Sharp, D. Higgins and F. Wright (1988) Silent sites in *Drosophila* genes are not neutral: evidence of selection among synonymous codons. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, Vol. 5, pp. 704716.