# Information Retrieval Extending Vector Space Model

Vector Space Model for IR can be extended/augmented in a number of ways:

- Feedback processing.
- Thesaurus for matching synonyms/similar words.
- Inverted Indexes for improving retrieval speed.
- Postings files for proximity queries.

# **Feedback Processing**

**Relevance feedback processing** is a collection of IR techniques that use *relevance judgements obtained from humans*<sup>1</sup> to refine (and, in theory, **improve**) the results of a retrieval procedure.

**Process.** Let q be a user query to a document collection D. Suppose the query returns the set  $D_q$  of documents.

A human analyst (user of the IR system) then examines some of the documents in the set  $D_q$  and identifies two sets  $D_q^r$ : a set of *relevant documents* and  $D_q^{irr}$ : the set of *irrelevant documents*. Note that, we expect that

$$D_q - (D_q^r \cup D_q^{irr}) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Idea.** Change the representation of the query q to retrieve more documents like those in  $D_q^r$ , exclude documents that are in  $D_q^{irr}$ , and not retreive documents similar to them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Usually, those who originated the IR query.

**Rocchio relevance feedback processing method.** The query vector q is replaced with a new vector  $q_e$  which:

- Emphasizes the keywords found in documents from  $D_q^r$ .
- De-emphasizes the keywords found in documents from  $D_q^{irr}$ .

$$q_e = \alpha \cdot q + \frac{\beta}{|D_q^r|} \sum_{d_r \in D_q^r} d_r - \frac{\gamma}{|D_q^{irr}|} \sum_{d_i \in D_q^{irr}} d_i.$$

Here,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , often taken so that  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ , represent respectively, the importance of the original query, the importance of the positive information and the importance of the negative information.

**Notes.** Rocchio feedback processing introduces the potential of *negative keyword weights*. A negative keyword weight in a query vector means that *the lack of that keyword in a document is important w.r.t. the relevance judgement*.

Additionally, if  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 1$ , the absolute values of keyword weights will grow (especially after a few iterations of the feedback method).

Variations. A number of variations on Rocchio's method.

• No negative feedback:  $(\gamma = 0)$ 

$$q_e = \alpha \cdot q + \frac{\beta}{|D_q^r|} \sum_{d_r \in D_q^r} d_r$$

• Diminished negative feedback. Only use one vector from  $D_q^{irr}$ :

$$q_e = \alpha \cdot q + \frac{\beta}{|D_q^r|} \sum_{d_r \in D_q^r} d_r - \gamma \cdot d_{irr}^{max},$$

where  $d_{irr}^{max} \in D_q^{irr}$  is the highest ranked irrelevant document.

**Blind Relevance Feedback** . Otherwise known as **pseudo relevance feedback**. Let IR system retreive the set  $D_q$  of documents given query q. Assume that the top  $k \ll |D_q|$  documents are **relevant** and perform Rocchio's feedback (w/o the negative information) transformation of q.

This is similar to **boosting**.

## **Use of Thesaurus**

All methods discussed thus far will retrieve a document if it contains **at least one keyword (stem)** specified in the query.

Thesauri help alleviate this issue.

Simple Thesaurus. A simple thesaurus is a collection of triples

 $(t_i, t_j, \alpha),$ 

where  $t_i, t_j \in V$  are two terms from the vocabulary and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  is the *degree* of similarity.

If  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  are **exact synonyms**. E.g. ("*person*", "*human*", 1.00) means that words "*person*" and "*human*" should be treated as full synonyms.

If  $\alpha < 1$ , it means that  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  are similar, but their similarity does not rise to the level of complete synonymity. E.g., we can have ("car", "Toyota", 0.5), because we know that a "Toyota" is (typically) a car, but not every "car" is a Toyota.

**Computing similarity.** In the presence of a simple thesaurus, we need to compute similarity between a document and a query in a different way. Let  $T = \{(t_i, t_k, \alpha_{ik})\}$  be a simple thesaurus.

$$sim(d_j, q) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} d_{ij} \cdot q_i + \sum_{(t_i, t_k, \alpha_{ik}) \in T} \alpha_{ik} \cdot d_{ij} \cdot q_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{M} d_{ij}^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{M} q_i^2}}$$

Note. We can treat a simple thesaurus as both symmetric and asymetric. If a simple thesaurus is symmetric, then  $(t_i, t_k, \alpha) \in T$  implies that  $(t_k, t_i, \alpha) \in T$ . If a simple thesaurus is asymetric, then  $t_i, t_k, \alpha) \in T$  does not imply  $(t_k, t_i, \alpha) \in T$ . In this case it is possible that  $(t_k, t_i, \alpha') \in T$  for some  $\alpha' \neq \alpha$ , or that there is no entry of the form  $(t_k, t_i, .)$  in T at all.

In all cases, the formula above will work.

### **Inverted Indexes and Postings Files**

Without special preparations, each time a query q is given to an IR system, the system must compute and sort all  $sim(d_1,q), sim(d_2,q), \ldots, sim(d_n,q)$ . When n is very large, this is a costly operation.

**Inverted Index** is a data structure that allows for more efficient query processing.

A collection of document vectors  $D = \{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$  can be thought of as a mapping from document Ids  $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  to term ids  $t_1, \ldots, t_m$ .

An inverted index is a mapping from terms to documents that contain them.

**Simple Inverted Index** is a list  $\{\langle t_i, (d_1^i, \ldots, d_{k_i}^i) \rangle\}$ , where  $t_i \in V$  is a vocabulary term and  $d_1^i, \ldots, d_{k_i}^i$  are **all** documents in D that contain  $t_i$ .

**Example.** Consider the following three documents:

- $d_1$  When I say stop, continue.
- $d_2$  When I say stop, stop and turn around.
- $d_3$  Around the bend, the river continued.

Assume for a moment that stopword removal removes "*the*" and "*and*" and that "*continued*" stems to "*continue*". Then, the **simple inverted index** for this document collection will be:

when	$d_1, d_2$
I	$d_1, d_2$
say	$d_1, d_2$
stop	$d_1, d_2$
continue	$d_{1}, d_{3}$
turn	$d_2$
around	$d_2, d_3$
bend	$d_3$
river	$d_3$

**Search using Inverted Index.** Let *D* be a document collection, *V* be its vocabulary, and *I* be its inverted index. Let  $I(t_i)$  denote the list of documents that contain  $t_i$ . Given a query *q*, its evaluation can proceed as follows:

- Step 1: Listings. For each query term  $t_i$  present in q, retrieve  $I(t_i)$ .
- Step 2: Merge. Compute the intersection of all retrieved  $I(t_i)$ s. (If necessary, compute the union of  $I(t_i)$ s and sort it according to the number of matching terms in each document).
- Step 3: Rank. For each document  $d_j$  from the list computed on Step 2 compute  $sim(d_j, q)$ . Sort all documents in the descending order of the similarity.

### **Inverted Indexes with Postings Files**

An inverted index can be adapted to help deal with proximity queries.

**Postings.** A **posting** is a triple  $(t_i, d_j, k)$ , which specifies that term  $t_i$  occurs in document  $d_j$  in position k. **Position** is usually defined as the word position (order) in the document after stopword removal.

**Inverted index with postings file:** an **inverted index** where for each indexed document we specify all locations of the term in it. More formally, an **inverted index with postings file** is a collection of tuples of the form

$$\langle t_i, \langle d_1^i, (k_{11}, \ldots, k_{1s_1}) \rangle, \ldots, \langle d_{l_i}^i, (k_{l_i1}, \ldots, k_{l_is_{l_i}}) \rangle \rangle$$

Here,  $d_1^i, \ldots, d_{l_i}^i$  are **all** documents from D which contain term  $t_i$ , and  $k_{rt}$  are all the locations in which the terms occur in their respective document.

#### Example. The inverted index with postings file for the document collection

- $d_1$  When I say stop, continue.
- $d_2$  When I say stop, stop and turn around.

 $d_3$  Around the bend, the river continued.

will be:

when	$(d_1, 1), (d_2, 1)$
I	$(d_1, 2), (d_2, 2)$
say	$(d_1, 3), (d_2, 3)$
stop	$(d_1, 4), (d_2, 4, 5)$
continue	$(d_1, 5), (d_3, 4)$
turn	$(d_2, 6)$
around	$(d_27), (d_3, 1)$
bend	$(d_3, 2)$
river	$(d_3, 3)$

**Proximity queries.** Inverted indexes with postings files can be used to answer exact phrase queries and proximity queries.

Let D be a document collection, V be its vocabulary, and I be its inverted index with postings. Let  $I(t_i)$  denote the list of documents that contain  $t_i$  and  $I(t_i, d_j)$ denote the list of postings for  $t_i$  and  $d_j$ . Given a query q that represents the exact phrase to be match (or a collection of keywords that need to be found in close proximity), the search will proceed as follows.

- Step 1: Listings. For each query term  $t_i$  present in q, retrieve  $I(t_i)$ .
- Step 2: Merge. Compute the intersection of all retrieved  $I(t_i)$ s.
- Step 3: Filter. For each document  $d_j$  in the list computed on Step 2, establish the proximity of keywords. If the proximity test fails, remove the document from the list.
- Step 4: Rank. For each document  $d_j$  from the list computed on Step 3 compute  $sim(d_j, q)$ . Sort all documents in the descending order of the similarity.