Evaluate yourself and your fellow team members. For each team member write the <u>basis for</u> <u>evaluation</u> . For example, worked together on mobile graph presentation OR worked together on writing automated tests for xyz. Be as specific as possible in the space allotted. Write legibly.
Evaluations should be delivered to Dr. Janzen by Monday, March 18, 2013 at 1:10pm.
<ul><li>Self evaluation:</li><li>1. List each of your MAJOR personal accomplishments/jobs this quarter. You may describe [some of] them in detail if you feel it's appropriate or useful.</li></ul>
2. In what specific ways could/should you have contributed more to your team project? Explain without making excuses.

Team Evaluation Evaluator Name:\_\_\_\_\_

3. Assign yourself a project grade (choices are: A, B, C, F) for your **TEAM PROJECT ONLY.** Explain the rationale for the grade and justify it with specific details. Note: do not consider reading and homework assignments; this grade is just for your contributions to the team project. **Do not repeat** material from the first page.

Grade:	Rationale:		 

Self evaluation: Circle one entry in each line

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't know
I have a good understanding of our project as a whole.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I understood what was expected of me during this project.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I understood what my teammates were doing during this project.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I made significant contributions to our project.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Others were aware of my tasks and task status.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Others were aware of my perspective and opinions.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I produced high quality project artifacts.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I was a reliable and responsible team member.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Each member of my team contributed equally to the project.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I understand the requirements of our customer.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Our requirements match our customer's needs.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Our architecture matches the project's requirements.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Our system accurately demonstrates the viability of our architecture.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I understand the technologies used in our system.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
The things I learned will be useful to me when I leave Cal Poly.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Our team used tools or technologies that were not familiar to me.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I felt comfortable learning new tools and technology.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I enjoyed working on this project.	1	2	3	4	5	Na
I would like to continue working on this project.	1	2	3	4	5	Na

## Peer evaluations: Complete for each project team member. Circle one entry in each line

## Name:

	poor	Below	average	Above	Great	Na
		average		average		
communication - participation in group meetings,	1	2	3	4	5	Na
keeping group members up to date on work status						
reliability - does tasks on time	1	2	3	4	5	Na
quality of work product	1	2	3	4	5	Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work	1	2	3	4	5	Na
team player - able to compromise and think win-win	1	2	3	4	5	Na
technical contribution	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Overall grade relative to the rest of the team	1	2	3	4	5	Na

quality of work product	1	2	3	4	5	Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work	1	2	3	4	5	Na
team player - able to compromise and think win-win	1	2	3	4	5	Na
technical contribution	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Overall grade relative to the rest of the team	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Comments, special issues both good and bad						
, 1						
Basis for evaluation:						
Basis for evaluation.						
Name:						
	poor	Below	average	Above	Great	Na
		average		average	_	
communication - participation in group meetings,	1	2	3	4	5	Na
keeping group members up to date on work status					_	
reliability - does tasks on time	1	2	3	4	5	Na
quality of work product	1	2	3	4	5	Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work	1	2	3	4	5	Na
team player - able to compromise and think win-win	1	2	3	4	5	Na
technical contribution	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Overall grade relative to the rest of the team	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Comments, special issues both good and bad	•					
Basis for evaluation:						
Dusis for evaluation.						
Name:						
rame.	poor	Below	average	Above	Great	Na
	poor	average	average	average	Great	114
communication - participation in group meetings,	1	2	3	4	5	Na
keeping group members up to date on work status						
reliability - does tasks on time	1	2	3	4	5	Na
quality of work product	1	2	3	4	5	Na
	1				2	
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work	1	2	3	4	5	Na
	_	-				Na Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work	1	2	3	4	5	
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work team player - able to compromise and think win-win	1 1	2 2	3	4	5	Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work team player - able to compromise and think win-win technical contribution Overall grade relative to the rest of the team	1 1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5	Na Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work team player - able to compromise and think win-win technical contribution	1 1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5	Na Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work team player - able to compromise and think win-win technical contribution Overall grade relative to the rest of the team	1 1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5	Na Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work team player - able to compromise and think win-win technical contribution Overall grade relative to the rest of the team	1 1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5	Na Na

## Name:

	poor	Below	average	Above	Great	Na
		average		average		
communication - participation in group meetings,	1	2	3	4	5	Na
keeping group members up to date on work status						
reliability - does tasks on time	1	2	3	4	5	Na
quality of work product	1	2	3	4	5	Na
willingness to do fair share (or more) of the work	1	2	3	4	5	Na
team player - able to compromise and think win-win	1	2	3	4	5	Na
technical contribution	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Overall grade relative to the rest of the team	1	2	3	4	5	Na

Overall grade relative to	the rest of the team	1	2	3	4	5	Na
Comments, special i	ssues both good and ba	ad:					
Basis for evaluation							
Comments about tea	nm interactions, team	leadersh	ip etc: _				
	f team (you have bonu ember including possib						
member is \$500. In	iclude an appropriate including both the qua	e bonus fo	or yours	elf. The	bonus sh	nould be	based on
	s. For example, an exc						
	isible work but their co					encourag	ging team
	with a great design ma	y have be	en cruci	al to its s	uccess.		
NAME:	BONUS						
	\$						
	\$						
	Φ						
	\$						
	\$						