

# Learning Agents

## *Overview*

### **Learning**

important aspects

### **Learning in Agents**

goal, types; individual agents,  
multi-agent systems

### **Learning Agent Model**

components, representation, feedback,  
prior knowledge

### **Learning Methods**

inductive learning, neural networks,  
reinforcement learning, genetic  
algorithms

### **Knowledge and Learning**

explanation-based learning, relevance  
information

# Learning

**acquisition of new knowledge and skills**

**incorporation of new knowledge  
into the existing knowledge**

**performed by the system itself  
not only injected by the developer**

**performance improvement  
simply accumulating knowledge isn't  
sufficient**

# Learning in Agents

*improved performance through learning*

## **learning**

modify the internal knowledge

## **goal**

improvement of future performance

## **types of learning**

memorization, self-observation,  
generalization, exploration, creation of  
new theories, meta-learning

## **levels of learning**

value-action pairs  
representation of a function  
general first-order logic theories

# Learning Agent Model

*conceptual components*

## **learning element**

responsible for making improvements

## **performance element**

selection of external actions:

takes in percepts and decides on actions

## **critic**

evaluation of the performance according  
to a fixed standard

## **problem generator**

suggests exploratory actions

new experiences with potential benefits

# Learning Element

*how to improve performance*

**performance element**

affected components

**internal representation**

used for components to improved

**feedback**

from the environment

from a teacher

**prior knowledge**

about the environment / domain

# Performance Element Components

*relevant for learning*

## **mapping function**

from percepts and internal state to  
actions

## **inference mechanism**

infer relevant properties of the world  
from percepts

## **changes in the world**

information about the way the world  
evolves

## **effects of actions**

results of possible actions the agent can  
take

## **utility information**

desirability of world / internal states

## **action-value information**

desirability of actions in particular states

## **goals**

classes of desirable states

utility maximization

# Representation

*used in a component*

**deterministic**

linear weighted polynomials

**logic**

propositional, first order

**probabilistic**

belief networks, decision theory

learning algorithms need to be adapted to the  
particular representation



# Feedback

*about the desired outcome*

## **supervised learning**

inputs and outputs of percepts can be  
perceived immediately

## **reinforcement learning**

an evaluation of the action (hint)  
becomes available  
not necessarily immediately  
no direct information about the correct  
action

## **unsupervised learning**

no hint about correct outputs

# Inductive Learning

*learning from examples*

## **reflex agent**

direct mapping from percepts to actions

## **inductive inference**

given a collection of examples for a  
function  $f$ , return a function  $h$   
(hypothesis) that approximates  $f$

## **bias**

preference for one hypothesis over  
another

usually large number of possible  
consistent hypotheses

## **incremental learning**

new examples are integrated as they  
arrive

# Decision Trees

*deriving decisions from examples*

## **goal**

take a situation described by a set of properties,] and produce a yes/no decision

## **goal predicate**

Boolean function defining the goal

## **expressiveness**

propositional logic

## **efficiency**

more compact than truth tables in many cases

exponential in some cases (parity, majority)

# Induction

*for decision trees*

## **example**

described by the values of the attributes  
and the value of the goal predicate  
(classification)

## **training set**

set of examples used for training

## **test set**

set of examples used for evaluation  
different from the training set

## **algorithm**

classify into positive and negative sets  
select the most important attribute  
split the tree, and apply the algorithm  
recursively to the subtrees

# Performance Evaluation

*for inductive learning algorithms*

## goals

- reproduce classification of the training set
- predict classification of unseen examples

## example set size

- must be reasonably large

## average prediction quality

- for different sizes of training sets and
- randomly selected training sets

## learning curve (“happy curve”)

- plots average prediction quality as a
- function of the size of the training set

training and test data should be kept separate,  
and each run of the algorithm should be  
independent of the others

## Examples

### *decision tree learning*

#### GASOIL

design of oil platform equipment  
expert system with 2500 rules generated  
from existing designs

#### **using a flight simulator**

program generated from examples of  
skilled human pilots  
somewhat better performance than the  
teachers (for regular tasks)  
not so good for rare, complex tasks

# Reinforcement Learning

*learning from success and failure*

**reinforcement** or punishment

feedback about the outcome of actions

no direct feedback about the correctness  
of an action

possibly delayed

**rewards** as percepts

must be recognized as special percepts,

not just another sensory input

can be components of the utility, or hints

# Variations

*in the learning task*

## **environment**

accessible or not

## **prior knowledge**

internal model of the environment

knowledge about effects of actions

utility information

## **passive learner**

watches the environment without actions

## **active learner**

act based upon learned information

problem generation for exploring the  
environment

## **exploration**

trade-off between immediate and future  
benefits



# Generalization

*in reinforcement learning*

## **implicit representation**

more compact form than a table for  
input-output values

## **input generalization**

apply learned information to unknown  
states

trade-off between the size of the hypothesis space  
and the time to learn a function

# Examples

*of reinforcement learning*

## **game-playing**

TD-gammon: neural network with 80 hidden units, 300,000 training games and precomputed features added to the input representation plays on par with the top three human players worldwide

## **robot control**

cart-pole balancing (inverted pendulum)

# Genetic Algorithms

*as a variation of reinforcement learning*

## **basic idea**

selection and reproduction operators are applied to sets of individuals

## **reward**

successful reproduction

agent is a species, not an individual

## **fitness function**

takes an individual, returns a real number

## **algorithm**

parallel search in the space of individuals  
for one that maximizes the fitness  
function

## **selection strategy**

random, probability of selection is

proportional to fitness

## **reproduction**

selected individuals are randomly paired

cross-over: gene sequences are split at the same point and crossed

mutation: each gene can be altered with small probability

# Knowledge and Learning

*learning with prior knowledge*

## **learning methods**

take advantage of prior knowledge about  
the environment

## **learning level**

general first-order logic theories  
as opposed to function learning

## **description**

conjunction of all example specifications

## **classification**

conjunction of all example evaluations

## **hypothesis**

newly generated theory

## **entailment constraint**

together with descriptions, the

hypothesis must entail classifications  
essential step toward truly autonomous intelligent  
agents

# Explanation-Based Learning

*potentially known information is made  
explicit*

## **usage**

known theories are converted into directly  
applicable knowledge ("aha-effect")

## **entailment constraint**

background entails hypothesis, which  
together with the example descriptions  
entails classifications

# Relevance-Based Learning

*points out relevant features*

## **functional dependencies**

generalization that derives the value of one predicate from another one

## **learning method**

deductive

does not create any new knowledge

## **main effect**

limitation of the hypothesis space

allows deductive generalizations from single examples



# Inductive Logic Programming

*learning based on predicate logic*

## **learning methods**

discovery of new predicates and new knowledge

extensions of decision trees to predicate logic

## **main effects**

reduction of the hypothesis space to include only theories that are consistent with prior knowledge

smaller hypotheses by using prior knowledge to formulate new rules

# Learning in Multi-Agent Systems

*as opposed to learning in individual agents*

principal categories

differentiating features

learning coordination

learning with other agents

# Principal Categories

*of learning in multi-agent systems*

## **centralized learning**

one agent performs all relevant activities

no interaction required

may include multiple agents with the  
same learning goals

## **decentralized learning**

several agents are engaged in the same  
learning process

requires interaction and collaboration  
between agents

# Differentiating Features

*for multi-agent learning approaches*

## **degree of decentralization**

distributed, parallelized

## **interaction-specific features**

level, persistence, frequency, patterns,  
variability of interaction

## **involvement-specific features**

relevance of involvement  
roles played by agents

## **goal-specific features**

type of improvement intended by learning  
compatibility of learning goals across  
different agents

## **learning method**

rote learning, learning by instruction,

example, practice, analogy, discovery

## **learning feedback**

supervised learning

reinforcement learning

unsupervised learning

# Credit Assignment

*Whose fault was it?*

## **inter-agent credit assignment**

several agents are involved in a learning activity that results in a performance change

who is responsible for the change?

## **intra-agent credit assignment**

which of the internal components of an agent involved in learning is responsible for a performance change?

## **general question**

what action carried out by which agent contributed to what extent to the performance change?

## **related question**

what knowledge, what inferences, and

what decisions led to an action

# Learning and Activity Coordination

*improving the performance of the overall system*

## **reinforcement learning**

agents try to maximize the amount of reinforcement they receive from the environment or from an instructor

## **isolated reinforcement learning**

individual agents use reinforcement learning to achieve their own goals  
no communication or collaboration about the learning processes or results

## **collaborative reinforcement learning**

agents communicate to decide on individual and group actions  
agents have some insight into each others learning processes, and share some results



# Learning with Other Agents

## **organizational roles**

assignments of roles in teams in order to learn more effectively

## **environmental conditions**

adaptation to changes in the environment  
mutual exchange of pertinent information  
especially for buyer and seller agents in  
electronic marketplaces

## **team competitions**

improvements in playing against  
competitors  
learning from more experienced agents

# Explanation-Based Learning

*to improve cooperative problem solving*

**inefficiencies in coordinated behavior**

identification of underlying causes

rectification of decisions or actions

**learning analysis**

problem-solving traces are collected and analyzed

explanations are generated for relevant decisions

**agent models**

the explanations should be generated with the model of the agent in mind

# Learning and Communication

*learn more and less by exchanging  
information*

## **reduced communication**

learning may lead to less conversations  
among agents  
instead of asking, agents learn themselves

## **reduced learning**

communication can reduce the need for  
learning  
instead of learning, agents ask other  
agents

## **balancing learning and communication**

may depend on bottlenecks in  
computational power or bandwidth