

Expert System Development

**Development Issues**

**Models**

**Rapid Prototyping** and Incremental  
Development

**Knowledge Engineering Lifecycle**

**Linear Model**

**Error Sources**

**problem identification**

What exactly is the problem to be solved?

**users**

Who is going to use the system?

**expertise**

Where does the knowledge come from?

**appropriateness**

Is an expert system the right tool?

**tools**

Are tools available for building the system?

**payoff**

Time savings, better efficiency, better  
products, , ...

**cost**

Hardware, software, training, people, ...

## Development Issues

**project management**

- activity management  
planning, scheduling, monitoring, analysis
- product configuration  
versions, changes
- resources  
forecast and acquire resources  
assign responsibilities  
critical resources for bottlenecks

**system delivery**

standard hardware / OS  
integration with existing systems

**maintenance and evolution**

system may continually evolve.

## Development Stages

**feasability study**

Can it be done?

**rapid prototype**

quick implementation to give an impression of  
the overall system

**refined system**  $\alpha$  - test

in-house test on real problems

**field testable**  $\beta$  - test

tests by selected users (non-specialists)

**commercial quality system**

validated and tested  
documentation, training, support

**maintenance / evolution**

bugs fixed  
capabilities enhanced

### experience

- much longer history than expert systems
- much larger base of realized systems

### methodologies

- variety of models to describe the software development process

### development tools

- reasonable choice of proven tools

traditional, widely used

### problem analysis

- suitability of the problem
- costs and benefits
- potential users

### requirements specification

- formal document
- goals and features of the system
- expected users
- computational environment
- constraints

### design

- choice of tools (software, hardware)
- user interface
- system architecture
- design documents

### implementation

- writing and debugging code
- integration of modules
- interface to external components / systems

### testing

- specifications must be met
- proper solution to the problem
- correct operation
- simulation or real environment

### maintenance

- elimination of errors
- modifications (e.g. for improved performance)
- enhancements
- most costly of the lifecycle stages

### Waterfall Model: Advantages and Problems

- + clear methodology
- + methodical approach
- + stepwise realization
- serial nature
- requires deep design knowledge from the early stages
- user feedback only at the very end
- long time between project conception and implementation

## Boehm Spiral Model

combines waterfall model, prototyping, and risk analysis

**cyclic repetition of steps**

**radial dimension:** accumulated costs

**angular dimension:** progress in a phase

**steps in a cycle**

- identification  
objectives, alternatives, constraints
- evaluation  
examines the previously identified issues
- formulation  
of a strategy to solve uncertainties and risks
- assessment  
of remaining risks  
progress to the next step / component

Boehm Spiral Model: Advantages and Problems

- + realistic view for large-scale software system development
- + stepwise approach
- + incremental realization
- + explicit risk assessment
- more complex
- evolutionary process
- heavy reliance on risk assessment

## Differences

Software Lifecycle – Knowledge-Based System Lifecycle

**software**

algorithms  
data structures

**knowledge-based system**

heuristics  
structured knowledge

## Rapid Prototyping

**working prototype**

quick creation of a limited version of the envisioned system

**feasibility**

demonstrates that the system can be built

**design issues**

evaluation of basic design choices

**design changes**

can be made early in the development phase

**customer feedback**

early integration of requests

### **divide-and conquer**

concentrates on manageable, separate chunks of knowledge

### **iterative development**

the chunks of knowledge are elicited from the source of expertise, implemented, reviewed, and refined

permits parallel development

from initial model to retirement

### **problem analysis**

nature of the problem  
potential users  
available resources  
adequacy of expert system methods  
costs and benefits

### **requirements specification**

formalization of the problem analysis results  
objectives of the project  
means to obtain the objectives

### **preliminary design**

high-level design decisions

- knowledge representation method
- development tools
- sources of expertise

foundation for the initial prototype

### **initial prototyping**

looks like the complete system  
limited in breadth  
used to justify or overturn preliminary design decisions  
usually discarded

### **final design**

high level description of the system  
architecture  
identification of subsystems  
interfaces between subsystems  
selection of

- tools
- resources
- knowledge representation method

### **implementation**

complete knowledge acquisition  
incremental development

### **validation and verification "V & V"**

ensures that the system meets its specification  
tests the operation of the system

### **design adjustment**

significant or retroactive changes may result in a paradigm shift

### **maintenance and evolution**

elimination of bugs  
adaptation to user requests  
integration of new or modified knowledge  
enhancement of functionality

for expert systems development <sup>1</sup>

## planning

- feasibility assessment
- appropriateness of expert system methods
- resource management
- task phasing
- schedules
- preliminary functional layout
- high-level requirements

results in a formal set of documents (work plan)

## knowledge definition

knowledge source identification and selection

- source identification

---

<sup>1</sup>Chapter 6 in [Giarratano and Riley, 1994]; originally developed by [Bochsler, 1988]

formal basis for changes (change requests)

## knowledge design

knowledge definition

- knowledge representation  
rules, frames, logic
- detailed control structure grouping of rules  
interface with other components  
metalevel control structures
- internal fact structure  
e.g. `deftemplate`
- preliminary user interface
- initial test plan  
test data, test drivers  
analysis of test results

- source importance
- source availability
- source selection

knowledge acquisition, analysis and extraction

- acquisition strategy  
methods, access to sources
- knowledge element identification  
select useful knowledge items, sources
- knowledge classification system  
organization of the knowledge  
(hierarchical groups)
- detailed functional layout  
functional capabilities at a technical level
- preliminary control flow  
general phases during execution  
groups of rules
- preliminary user's manual  
to elicit early feedback
- requirements specification
- knowledge baseline

detailed design

- design structure  
logical organization of knowledge
- implementation strategy
- detailed user interface  
after user feedback about the preliminary version
- design specifications  
formal document
- detailed test plan

## code and checkout

actual code implementation

- coding
- tests
- source listings  
commented, with documentation
- user manual
- installation / operations guide
- system description  
formal document

terminates with the test readiness review

### knowledge verification

formal tests

- test procedures
- test reports

test analysis

- results evaluations
- recommendation

### system evaluation

- summary results evaluation
- recommendations
- validation  
system fulfills requirements and operates correctly
- final report (complete system)  
interim report if modifications need to be made

final stage in the development

refinements or modifications start the overall

process from scratch

### Advantages and Problems

- + verification and validation in parallel with stages
- + suited for large, commercial-quality expert systems
- + stepwise realization
- serial nature
- substantial overhead
- user feedback only at the very end
- long time between project conception and implementation

## Error Sources

### knowledge errors

the acquired knowledge may be erroneous  
explicit representation of the knowledge may already uncover errors  
special efforts for mission-critical projects  
(review panels, formal verification)

### semantic errors

mis-interpretations of expert knowledge  
incomplete elicitation of knowledge

### syntax errors

incorrect forms for rules or facts  
should be detected by the development tools

### inference engine errors

bugs in the expert system's inference engine  
mostly obscure, infrequent, not consistent  
possible sources:

- pattern matching
- conflict resolution

- execution of actions

### inference chain errors

possibly caused by combinations of above errors  
priority problems with rules  
side-effects between rules  
uncertainty, especially propagation  
nonmonotonicity

### limits of ignorance

performance should degrade gracefully when the limits of knowledge are reached  
ignorance should increase uncertainty  
*Problem:* How does the system know its limits?

Expert System Development

### Development Issues

problem selection, management, stages

### Models

conventional software vs. knowledge-based systems

waterfall, Boehm spiral, linear

### Rapid Prototyping and Incremental

Development

quick demonstration, subdivision, parallel work

### Knowledge Engineering Lifecycle

analysis, specification, prototype, design, implementation, validation and verification, design adjustment, maintenance and evolution

### Linear Model

planning, definition, design, code and

checkout, verification, evaluation

### Error Sources

knowledge, semantic, syntax, inference, limits of ignorance