

Introduction

What is an Expert System?

Terminology

Knowledge, Data, Information

Representation and Processing of Knowledge

Methods in Computing

Algorithms, Rules, Semantic Nets, ...

Search in Artificial Intelligence

Basic Search Methods

Knowledge and Expertise

Experts and their Knowledge

Knowledge-Based Systems

Definition and Historical Development

Types of Knowledge

Features of Knowledge-Based Systems

Development of Knowledge-Based Systems

Artificial Intelligence

Myths, hype, and the truth ...

Old adages

“It’s an AI problem if it hasn’t been solved yet.”

“AI is like computers in movies (e.g. HAL).”

“AI is Advanced Informatics.”

AI Applications

The truth (maybe).

Natural Language

parsers (games, data base front ends)

Programming

objects, expert systems, agents

Robotics

autonomous vehicles, sensors, planning

Vision

object recognition, feature detection

Knowledge

representation, acquisition, processing

What is an Expert System?¹

Basic concepts

- designer / user supplies facts and information
- user asks queries and receives expert advice
- limited to a problem domain (*knowledge domain*)

Components

- user interface
- knowledge base
- inference mechanism

Synonyms: knowledge-based system, knowledge-based expert system

¹[Jackson, 1999, Liebowitz and Letsky, 1998, Giarratano and Riley, 1994, Gonzalez and Dankel, 1993]

Knowledge, Data and Information

Knowledge:

definition:¹ Information and understanding about a subject which a person has in his or her mind or which is shared by all human beings

*similar terms:*² *learning, lore, scholarship, wisdom, instruction, book-learning, enlightenment, expertise, intelligence, light, theory, science, principles, philosophy, awareness, insight, education, substance, store of learning, know-how*

important aspects: possibly complex structure (relations between items)

¹[Sinclair, 1987]

²[Laird, 1982]

Data:

definition:¹ Information, usually in the form of facts or statistics that you can analyse, or that you use to do further calculations

*similar terms:*² *evidence, reports, details, results, notes, documents, abstracts, testimony, matters of direct observation, facts, raw materials, memorandums, statistics, figures, measurements, conclusions, information, circumstances, experiments*

important aspects: rigid, simple structure (tables)

¹[Sinclair, 1987]

²[Laird, 1982]

Information:

definition:¹

1. knowledge acquired through experience or study
2. knowledge of specific and timely events or situations; news
3. the act of informing or the condition of being informed
4. an office, agency, etc. providing information
5. a charge or complaint made before justice of the peace
6. the results derived from the processing of data according to programmed instructions
7. another word for data

*similar terms:*² *derived knowledge, acquired facts, evidence, knowledge, reports, details, results,*

¹[Hanks, 1979]

²[Laird, 1982]

*notes, documents, testimony, facts, figures,
statistics, measurements, conclusions, deductions,
plans, field or laboratory notes, learning,
erudition; news, report, notice, message*

important aspects:

rather vague usage in common language,
precise definition in information theory

Structured Knowledge

information items and their relationships

information items

objects, concepts, features, attributes

relationships

hierarchical, membership, component,
similarity, location, ...

Knowledge Representation

formalisms to describe information items and
their relationships

adequate

are essential aspects captured?

comprehensible

is the represented knowledge understandable?

transferable

can the knowledge be communicated?

uniform

is identical information consolidated?

composite

can components be grouped into ensembles?

efficient

usage of space
execution time for basic operations

Knowledge Processing

knowledge representation formalism plus inference
mechanism

algorithms

knowledge as data structures, procedural
processing

rules

rule-based representation, forward/backward
chaining

semantic nets

network representation, activity propagation
or specific reasoning methods

schemata

frames, scripts as enhanced data structures;
specific reasoning methods

objects

the essential aspects of all of these formalisms can
be translated into propositional or predicate logic

Algorithms

structured sequence of steps to solve a problem

natural

relatively easy to formulate and understand

formal basis

Turing machines, computability

evaluation

good fit with the way computers work

Advantages

- modularity
procedures, modules
- uniformity
all knowledge is represented in the same
format can also be a limitation
- naturalness
similar to the way many programmers think
not necessarily for all applications
- popularity

most popular method to program computers

Problems

- formal verification tedious to impossible
- not suitable for the representation of
knowledge
- complex systems become difficult to handle
- re-use is limited in practice
- no satisfactory algorithms for “easy” problems

Rules

knowledge expressed in IF ... THEN format

natural

relatively easy to formulate and understand

formal basis

modus ponens as inference rule

nonmonotonic

assertions may be retracted to avoid
contradictions

uncertainty

can be incorporated into the inference process

Advantages

- modularity
rules are separate units of knowledge
can be added, modified, removed
independently (with limitations)
- uniformity
all knowledge is represented in the same

format

can also be a limitation

- naturalness
similar to the way experts think
not necessarily for all applications

Problems

- cyclic rules lead to infinite chaining
- introduction of contradictions
- modification of rules

Semantic Nets

graph-based representation of knowledge

nodes

represent objects or concepts

arcs

represent relationships between concepts

semantic relationships

constructed to provide an understanding of the represented information

inheritance

propagation of attributes in hierarchies

Advantages

- visual representation
- explicit relationships between concepts
- flexible

Problems

- logical inadequacy

restricted to propositional logic

- heuristic search
no heuristics for efficient search
- semantics
properties of relationships (transitive, commutative, ...)
- interpretation
varies between programs, and human users
- variety of links
types, names, treatment for inferencing
- combinatorial explosion
many possible relationships
especially a problem for negative queries (all of the links may have to be searched)
- invalid inferences
inappropriate interpretation of links, unforeseen chains

Schemata

based on structures for representing knowledge

examples: frames, scripts

nodes can have internal structure

a set of attribute-value pairs (slots, fillers)

stereotypes

a frame represents a typical object or situation

contains related knowledge about a situation

Advantages

- very flexible
- useful for representing commonsense knowledge
- well suited for causal knowledge
- organized representation of knowledge
- can incorporate hierarchies and inheritance
- rule-based and procedural components can be integrated

- differentiates between generic and specific knowledge

Problems

- semantics and interpretation of slots
- handling of atypical and new situations
- modifications may have unforeseen consequences in other frames
- heuristic knowledge
may be specified more easily via rules

Objects

encapsulation of related information and manipulation methods

object

data and methods corresponding to an entity in the real world

classes define general properties of objects

instances specific individual objects

messages are used to exchange information between objects

Abstraction

suppression of lower-level information not relevant for the current task

Encapsulation (information hiding)

implementation details are hidden, only interface information is visible

Inheritance

common characteristics are derived from ancestors

Polymorphism

appropriate instances of classes and operators can be selected at runtime

Advantages

- very flexible
- suitable for large systems
- support reuse

Problems

- handling of new and atypical situations
- quite complex
- formal verification

Extreme Positions

about knowledge and its representation

formalistic assumption

knowledge can be represented by finite structures composed of discrete atomic symbols in accordance with a finite number of syntactic relations ¹

relativistic assumption

knowledge can only be described in a meaningful way with respect to a framework incorporating non-quantitative aspects like experience, belief, expectation, feelings, ... as a consequence, knowledge cannot be described in absolute terms ²

Physical Symbol Systems Hypothesis

A *Physical Symbol System* consists of symbols and structures that have to be realized physically; it has the necessary and sufficient

¹[MacLennan, 1994]

²Joseph Weizenbaum

conditions for an intelligent system ³

³[Newell and Simon, 1976]

in Artificial Intelligence

search of a problem space

for a solution to a problem

not: search through data structures

basic idea:

find a path from the initial description of a problem to a description of the solved problem

problem space is created incrementally,
not predefined and already in existence

problem-solving method

powerful technique for many different areas

Representation

Network

graph with nodes as states and arcs as possible steps

unique representations of states, multiple incoming arcs

Tree

multiple representations of states

different ways to search

random search

next step is selected randomly from the possible ones

non-systematic; can't guarantee complete coverage of the search space; paths may be selected multiple times; may take infinite time

blind search

systematic approach; no knowledge about closeness to the solution; complete coverage; ineffective if closeness to solutions can be measured

directed search (also: informed search)

systematic approach; paths leading towards the solution are preferred

used in AI problems

depth-first

blind, systematic

expands each path to the end, backtracking when a dead end is encountered

breadth-first

blind, systematic

all nodes at one level are expanded
finds the shortest path

beam search

directed, heuristic variation of breadth-first
only a limited number of nodes are expanded
all successor nodes are evaluated, the best ones are selected for expansion

hill-climbing

directed variation of depth-first
successor node with the greatest progress towards the goal is selected

problems: local maxima, plateaus, ridges

uniform-cost (lowest path-cost)

directed search

node with the shortest path so far is selected

finds the shortest path

problem: significant portion of the search tree

must be expanded

best-first (greedy)

directed, heuristic search algorithm

requires estimate of the distance to the solution

selects the node with the smallest estimate

problem: does not take into account the

length of already expanded parts of the paths

A* (A-Star)

combination of best-first and uniform-cost

requires estimate of the distance to the solution

uses estimate and previous path length to calculate the cost

if estimates are always greater than zero but never greater than the actual cost, the lowest cost path will be found

reduces the number of nodes expanded by best-first

Knowledge and Expertise

representation of expert knowledge

general knowledge

central topic of many initial AI approaches

not sufficient for most practical applications

specific knowledge

different for each domain

corresponds to much of the knowledge of a human expert

heuristics

informal knowledge (rules of thumb, experience)

cause-and-effect relationships

often shortcuts to a satisfactory solution

not always optimal or even correct

salient features

important aspects of the problem

Heuristics

finding an acceptable solution

combinatorial explosion

too many possible paths

evaluation

it is difficult to decide which path is better

complex algorithmic evaluation function

approximation

the algorithmic evaluation function is unknown

Definition

computer-based system

implemented on a computer system

domain knowledge

must be available in the system

reasoning mechanism

to draw conclusions based on the domain knowledge

problem solving

must be able to find an equivalent solution to that of a human expert

of knowledge-based systems

separation of knowledge and its usage

specificity

highly specific domain knowledge

heuristic nature

solutions are often derived by heuristics rather than algorithms

Types of Knowledge

skills of experts

associational knowledge

heuristic ability to associate inputs with outputs

“black-box knowledge”

motor skills

usually learned by repetition

may be difficult for computers / robots

theoretical knowledge

formal knowledge about a domain

requires understanding of the underlying concepts

Features

of knowledge-based systems

Requirements

- performance: level of competency equal or higher than an expert
- response time: at least as fast as a human expert; critical for real-time expert systems
- reliability: crashes or malfunctions may be dangerous
- understandability: steps of reasoning must be explained on request

Advantages

- availability of expertise
- explicit representation of knowledge
- ease of modification
- consistency of answers
- accessibility
- incomplete / inexact data
- comprehensibility

Disadvantages

- incorrect answers
- limited knowledge
- lack of commonsense
- brittleness

Development

of knowledge-based systems

knowledge acquisition

extract knowledge from a human expert

knowledge representation

suitable for use by computers

maintenance

update of the knowledge base

Chapter Review

Computing Methods

algorithms, rules, semantic nets, ...

Search Methods

random, blind, directed search

depth-/breadth first, uniform-cost, best first,

A★

Knowledge-Based Systems

separation of knowledge and its use

availability of expertise

performance and reliability

limited domain knowledge