# Chapter Overview

### Rule-Based Reasoning with CLIPS

#### Introduction

Review Lessons "Rule-Based Reasoning",
"CLIPS"

#### Forward Reasoning

Example: Flood Warning

Example: Boxer

## Rete Algorithm

Example: Cats and Dogs

### **Backward Reasoning**

Example: Dinner Choices

Example: Baseball

## Chapter Review

# Forward Reasoning

from data towards conclusions

- matching known facts are compared with the premises of rules if all premises of a rule are true, it is satisfied
- conflict resolution if multiple rules are satisfied, one must be selected
- execution the selected rule is applied new facts or rules can be generated
- usage need for quick response, few facts, few rules many acceptable conclusions
- domains monitoring and real-time process control, synthesis; design, configuration, planning, scheduling

# Flood Evacuation

### example forward reasoning

#### problem

flood warning and evacuation system for a flood-prone region

## problem analysis

- relatively small number of parameters and rules
- relations known prior to execution
- data acquisition automatically from sensors
- continuous monitoring required

## design decisions

- forward reasoning
- confidence factors
- inference network

## internal representation

- rules: rule number, parameters in the premises (upstream elements), parameters in the conclusions (downstream elements), premises, conclusions
- parameters: name, rules that modify the parameter, rules using the parameter, values and confidence factor (if known)
- input data: list of parameters used as inputs
- conclusions: output parameters



## example forward reasoning

#### problem

system for arranging things to be packed into boxes

## problem analysis

- relatively small number of parameters and rules
- not all relations known prior to execution
- synthesis problem: many possible solutions
- no optimal solution required
- pattern matching required for properties of objects

## design decisions

- forward reasoning
- pattern matching system
- conflict resolution
  - 1) larger number of instantiated premises first
  - 2) ordering of input data
- grouping of rules, reflects overall packing strategy
- some simplifying assumptions

# Pattern Matching

efficiency considerations

### problem

pattern matching can be extremely inefficient: all rules are compared with all the facts in every cycle rules \* facts<sup>premises</sup>

#### observation

most of these comparisons are not necessary in each step, only for changes in the fact base

#### solution

keep a list of satisfied rules modify it only when changes occur due to addition or deletion of facts

# Rete Algorithm

efficient pattern matching

#### basic idea

keep track of satisfied rules updates according to changes in the fact base

### pattern network

set of trees from all premises of all rules

#### join network

connects leaf nodes of the trees that share variables checks consistency of variable bindings

#### changed facts

modify the corresponding entries in the pattern and join network

# Conflict Resolution

selecting the next rule

number of rules to be executed in one cycle usually one, but several is possible (parallel execution)

#### order of rules

lowest number, lowest number after current rule, lowest numbered rule deriving a new fact, ...

rule complexity e.g. number of premises
most complex (specific) rule first, or most
generic (simplest) rule first

#### order of facts

```
lowest / highest number, oldest / newest, ...
```

in practice combinations of the above criteria are used

# Backward Reasoning

#### goal selection

take one goal and determine all rules capable of satisfying that goal consequents of the rules must match the goal

#### matching

check applicable rules; if all premises are satisfied, a rule can be executed, and the goal is solved;

otherwise, new subgoals are created, or the user may provide inputs

#### usage

unknown response time, possibly many facts, many rules few acceptable conclusions

#### domains

classification, diagnosis; inference networks for static knowledge, otherwise pattern matching

# Example: Dinner Choices

example backward reasoning

## problem

select beverage and main course for a meal

### problem analysis

- small number of parameters and rules
- relations known prior to execution
- inputs provided by the user upon request
- planning problem, few possible solutions
- no pattern matching necessary

### design decisions

- backward reasoning
- inference network

If all inputs are provided in advance, forward reasoning can be applied

# Example: Baseball

example backward reasoning with pattern matching

### problem

choose a strategy in a particular situation here: signals from third base coach to batter and base runners

### problem analysis

- larger number of parameters and variables
- few rules
- relations not fully known prior to execution
- inputs determined by the progress of the game
- decision problem, few satisfactory solutions
- pattern matching required

## design decisions

- backward reasoning
- pattern matching

forward reasoning can be applied, too potential problem: admissible, but inappropriate solution

# Chapter Review |

### Rule-Based Reasoning with CLIPS

#### Introduction

Review Lessons "Rule-Based Reasoning",
"CLIPS"

#### Forward Reasoning

Example: Flood Warning Example: Boxer

### Rete Algorithm

Example: Cats and Dogs

#### **Backward Reasoning**

Example: Dinner Choices Example: Baseball