

# **CSC 405 Lecture Notes Week 3**

## **Some Project Specific Testing Details Relevant Testing Theory**

# I. Mapping Testing Terminology onto 405 and 406

- A. Consider last week's testing activities.
- B. What follows are notes on how they'll be accomplished in 405 & 406.
- C. These are *notes*, not *the* answers.

## II. Unit Testing

A. For the OCU, CppUnit looks like a good choice.

1. Originally a port of JUnit to C++.

2. Start at sourceforge site.

## Unit Testing, cont'd

- B.** For the kernel-level code,  
unit testing may not be done independently.
  - 1.** See the "Linux Test Project" for a start.
  - 2.** It may provide some unit testing.
  - 3.** It also is at sourceforge.

## III. Module Testing

- A. CppUnit provides test fixtures.
- B. It provides other class-level testing features.
- C. See the CppUnit "Cookbook".

## Module, cont'd

- D. LTP provides support for kernel module testing.
- E. There is also the *scalable testing platform*.

## IV. Integration Testing

- A. OCU and kernel-level independently tested.
- B. OCU teams write stub for kernel model.
- C. Not clear what kernel stubs may be possible.

## V. System Testing

- A. The issue of kernel builds needs to be worked out.
- B. Also, the issue of how far to go with competing 802-11 and Batman needs to be determined.

## VI. Acceptance Testing

- A. Once OCU user-level spec is done, write acceptance spec from it.
- B. If possible, have actual human OCU users conduct acceptance tests (or Julie as customer rep).
- C. STP claims to provide kernel acceptance testing.

## **VII. Black Box Testing**

- A.** The OCU may be black-box testable in its entirety.
  
- B.** For kernel-level, black-box testing is driven by specs, as possible.

## VIII. White box

- A. OCU may not need explicit white-box tests.
- B. For kernel, it depends on what LTP has to offer, or comparable testing frameworks.

## **IX. Testing Design**

- A.** To be determined based on chosen frameworks.
- B.** For the physical layout, I suggest standard UNIX directories, a la the CSC 309 testing repository.

## X. Test Plan

- A. I *strongly* recommend a common, uniform structure for these
- B. C/C++ Function comment for unit test plans.
- C. C++ .h file comment for module test plans.
- D. Source-resident .html for integration plan.
- E. Use doxygen (or equiv) for generating browsable test plans.

## **XI. Top-down Testing**

- A.** Test OCU top-down, with MESH stub.
- B.** OCU model unit tests may be done bottom up, as necessary.

## **XII. Bottom-up Testing**

**A.** Test kernel-level bottom up / inside out.

## **XIII. Test Case**

- A.** Again, I recommend having a standard test design convention for how this is represented.

## **XIV. Testing Oracle**

- A.** For OCU, given absence of formal(ized) spec, the oracle will be a human domain expert.
  
- B.** For kernel-level, the extant specs are used, with human interpretation as necessary.

## **XV. Testing Stub**

**A.** As noted above, major stub is for OCU testing.

## **XVI. Test Driver**

- A.** Provided by the chosen frameworks.
- B.** Also, if dynamic testing feature is implemented, the OCU is a live run-time test driver.

## **XVII. Regression Testing**

- A.** CppUnit is both programmatic and file based.
- B.** Kernel-level is most likely log file based.
- C.** STP may provide regression support.

## XVIII. Test Coverage

- A. `gcov` is a widely-used C coverage tool.
- B. LTP has `lcov`, a graphical front-end to `gcov`.

## **XIX. Test Subsumption**

- A.** OCU is simple enough so as not to need this.
- B.** LTP may have some support, but not likely.

## **XX. Test Automation**

- A.** Done with `make`, per the requirements of the chosen frameworks.

## **XXI. Mutation Testing**

- A.** Most likely not performed in 405.
  
- B.** We may do some in 406

## **XXII. Testing Harness**

**A.** *Covered above, particularly under Test Drivers.*

## **XXIII. Testing Framework**

- A.** To summarize ...
- B.** CppUnit for OCU testing.
- C.** LTP for kernel-level testing.
- D.** Other possibilities are CxxTest, DejaGnu.

## Resources

- [opensource-testing.org](http://opensource-testing.org)
- [sourceforge.net/apps/  
mediawiki/cppunit](http://sourceforge.net/apps/mediawiki/cppunit)
- [ltp.sourceforge.net](http://ltp.sourceforge.net)
- [cxxtest.tigris.org](http://cxxtest.tigris.org)
- [www.gnu.org/software/dejagnu](http://www.gnu.org/software/dejagnu)
- [sourceforge.net/projects/stp](http://sourceforge.net/projects/stp)

## **XXIV. Design and testing best practices.**

### **A. Concrete structure in Fisher's 309 SOPs:**

- 1. Vol 1 -- Repository Structure**
- 2. Vol 2 -- Design & Imple'n Conventions**
- 3. Vol 3 -- Testing Conventions**

# Design and testing best practices, cont'd

## B. Widely-used tools:

1. CppUnit
2. Gcov
3. Linux Test Project, Lcov

## Design and testing best practices, cont'd

C. An exemplary "best testing practice site" --

SQLite Testing