### CSC 405 Lecture Notes Week 3

# Literature Survey of Software Deployment, Evolution, and Maintenance

#### I. Introduction.

A. We'll discuss 3 papers from the readings

B. They're wide-ranging surveys.

### Three papers chosen from the readings, cont'd

- C. The papers are
  - 1. "Software Deployment, Past, Present and Future", Alan Dearle, *Proceedings of the 2007 Conference on the Future of Software Engineering*, IEEE CS.

### Three papers chosen from the readings, cont'd

2. "Software evolution - Background, theory, practice", Meir M. Lehman, Juan F. Ramil, *Information Processing Letters 88 (2003)*, Elsevier.

### Three papers chosen from the readings, cont'd

3. "25 Years of Software Maintenance", Scott Tilley, 2009 IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance,, IEEE CS.

## The Deployment Paper

### II. What is it?

A. A post-production activity.

B. Performed by developers and/or customers.

C. Has one or more of these steps:

## What is it?, cont'd

- 1. release
- 2. configuration
- 3. installation
- 4. activation
- 5. monitoring

## What is it?, cont'd

- 6. updating
- 7. reconfiguration
- 8. redeploying
- 9. deactivation
- 10. undeploying

## III. What Gets Deployed

A. A formalized definition is *UML Component* 

B. Has "contractually specified interfaces and explicit context dependencies".

C. Uses well-defined resources.

D. Is versioned.

# What Gets Deployed?, cont'd

E. OMG defines *domain* as the target envir.

- F. They define the inter-domain concepts of
  - 1. component discovery
  - 2. component binding

#### IV. Six Case Studies

A. Java Beans

B. Linux

C. Net

D. OMG, Corba

E. Service-Oriented Computing

#### V. Java Beans

A. Standard for server-side components.

B. Sun (now Oracle) defines process.

C. Use jar files and XML descriptor files.

## Java Beans, cont'd

#### D. Issues:

No good "bean registry", making installation of new beans problematic

- 1. Very language dependent.
- 2. The "deploy with our free runtime" is an interesting model

#### VI. Linux

A. RedHat Package Manager (RPM) is cited as most common method of deployment.

B. Deploys in binary or source.

### Linux, cont'd

C. Question -- are these RPM packaging elements standard for Linae?

- 1. lead
- 2. signature
- 3. header
- 4. archive

## Linux, cont'd

- D. Issues:
  - 1. OS platform dependent.
  - 2. Somewhat language independent (cf. Java Beans).

#### VII. .Net

A. Deployment unit is an Assembly.

B. Includes name, version, and public key.

C. Uses metadata manifests.

D. Uses platform-specific Common Interface Language.

# .Net, cont'd

#### E. Issues:

- 1. OS platform dependent.
- 2. Somewhat more language independent (cf. Java Beans, Linux).
- 3. Anyone here have experience with it?

### VIII. OMG CORBA

A. Ambitious attempt at platform independence.

B. Uses 5-step process:

## OMG CORBA, cont'd

- 1. installation
- 2. configuration
- 3. planning (for launch)
- **4.** preparation (for launch)
- 5. launch

### OMG CORBA, cont'd

- C. Uses various OMG models, e.g.,
  - 1. Platform Independent Model (PIM).
  - 2. CORBA Component Model (CCM).

D. Uses UML concepts, IDL mid-level definition, XML details.

## OMG CORBA, cont'd

#### E. Issues:

- 1. Pretty darn complicated.
- 2. Still, most complete attempt at a deployment standard.

# IX. Service-Oriented Computing

A. Examples include

1. Apache Axis.

2. Microsoft IIS

B. Question: Anyone have experience with these?

# Service-Oriented Computing, cont'd

### C. Issues:

- 1. It has potential.
- 2. Not clear yet if it's a money maker

### X. Virtualization

A. Abstract platform dependencies into a generic layer.

B. E.g., VMWare

### Virtualization, cont'd

#### C. Issues:

- 1. Seems to have great potential.
- 2. Dearle says potentially "profound implications".

## XI. Not in Dearle's List, but Worth Considering

A. Browser-Based -- a glaring Dearle omission.

B. Debian, Fink and their ilk.

C. App Stores, e.g., Apple and Android.

D. Question: Experience with these, anyone?

### XII. Issues Revisited

# A. Binding

- 1. compile time
- 2. link time
- 3. pre-runtime config
- 4. runtime

### Issues, cont'd

### B. Runtime environments

- 1. J2EE and .Net are OK.
- 2. Browser = runtime environment is coming on.
- 3. So is virtualization.

C. Light Weight Containers, e.g., J2EE Spring

# E. Metadata Config Files