

CSC 484 Lecture Notes Week 6

Different Types of Interfaces and Interactions

I. Relevant reading -- Textbook Chapter 6.

II. Discussion of project overview and Milestone 2.

- A. See the handout from last week.
- B. See important revisions posted online, in particular:
 - 1. Project presentation moved from weeks 7 and 10, to weeks 8 and 11.
 - 2. New Section 1.3.3, on "**Usability Study Participants**".
 - 3. **References** section at the end, containing references to articles cited in your writeup, particularly Sections 1.3.1 and 1.3.2.

III. Class schedule updates.

- A. See the revised schedule online, at
<http://users.csc.calpoly.edu/~gfisher/classes/484/handouts/schedule.html>
- B. Noteworthy updates:
 - 1. Project presentations moved to weeks 8 and 11.
 - 2. Monday finals time used for final presentations, not written exam.
 - 3. Weeks 9 and 10 labs devoted to usability studies.
 - 4. Quiz 4 in Friday lecture Week 9, worth 6% of class grade.

IV. Introduction to Chapter 6 (Section 6.1).

- A. The chapter covers the wide range of different interface types.
 - 1. **WIMP** -- windows, icons, menus, and pointing.
 - 2. **Advanced GUIs** -- multi-media, virtual reality.
 - 3. **Ubiquitous** -- wearable, mobile, in the surrounding environment.
- B. It discusses design issues relevant to the different types.
- C. It also provides guidance about what type of interface(s) to choose for a particular application or activity.

V. Interface paradigms (Section 6.2).

- A. Simply put, a *paradigm* is a way of doing business.
- B. From a scientific perspective, a paradigm is a set of commonly agreed practices that helps define:
 - 1. what scientific questions to ask,
 - 2. what phenomena to observe,
 - 3. the kind of experiments to conduct.
- C. In interaction design paradigms, the questions include:
 - 1. How many people will interact with a designed system?
 - 2. Is the system on a desktop, in a web browser, in a ubiquitous environment?
 - 3. In what forms does the user present inputs to the system?
 - 4. In what forms does the system provide output to the user?

- D. The phenomena to observe are based on the various forms of user behavior discussed in preceding chapters:
1. Can people use an interactive system effectively?
 2. What psychological phenomena are pertinent to users of an interactive system?
 3. What social phenomena arise as a result of using an interactive system?
 4. When do users enjoy or not enjoy using an interactive system?
- E. Experimental findings in ID research are generally considered acceptable in one of these forms:
1. *Qualitative results.*
 - a. These are based on asking users specific questions about their interactive experiences.
 - b. Such results typically require statistical analysis to identify significant trends among the users.
 2. *Quantitative results.*
 - a. These are based on measuring users' performance of specific interactive tasks.
 - b. Such results typically require a controlled experimental environment in which tasks are performed.
 3. *Theory-based results.*
 - a. Qualitative or quantitative results can be backed by some proposed theory, framework, or model of interactivity.
 - b. In such cases, the experiment is based on and derived from the theory.

Interface types (Section 6.3).

- A. Interaction styles:
1. *Command-based* -- typically via typed text or spoken phrases.
 2. *Graphical* -- typically via mouse or pen.
 3. *Multi-media* -- add audio/video output and possibly input.
- B. Interactive system properties:
1. *Intelligent* -- add some form of AI to an interactive system.
 2. *Adaptive* -- the interface changes dynamically, to adapt to users' changing context and needs.
 3. *Ambient* -- the interface extends beyond the users' desktop, into the surrounding environment.
 4. *Mobile* -- an interactive device goes with the user, as the user moves about.
- C. The book's chronological grouping:
1. 1980s
 - a. Command
 - b. GUI
 2. 1990s
 - a. Advanced GUI (multi-media, VR, visualization)
 - b. Web-based
 - c. Speech
 - d. Pen, gesturing (beyond keyboard/mouse), touch
 - e. Appliance, i.e., device-embedded
 3. 2000s
 - a. Mobile
 - b. Multi-modal (beyond keyboard and mouse)
 - c. Sharable
 - d. Tangible (sensor-based i/o devices)
 - e. Augmented, virtual, mixed reality
 - f. Wearable
 - g. Robotic
- D. This chronology is more aligned with PhD-level research, than with actual real-world usage of technology.

1. Application of 1990s research is still taking place in commercial systems.
2. E.g.,
 - a. Google Docs and SketchUp
 - b. Apple Spaces and Expose
 - c. Windows desktop improvements
 - d. MS Office Galleries
 - e. iPod scroll wheel

VI. 1980s UIs (Section 6.3.1).

- A. These are well-known to us all.
- B. In my opinion, Activity 6.1 and Box 6.1 are not particularly well-chosen or cogent examples; you can undoubtedly come up with better examples of your own, in the illustrated areas.
- C. Research and design issues (many remaining relevant today).
 1. *Command vocabulary.*
 2. *Mnemonic icon design.*
 3. *Window management.*
 4. *Menu design an layout.*
 5. Other means to *display, navigate, and abstract* large amounts of information.
- D. Menu design issues.
 1. There are *many* published guidelines; the book cites a number of them.
 2. The book also has a rather curious excerpt of the ISO standards for menu design, in Figure 6.8.
- E. Icon design issues.
 1. The visual appearance of icons has improved quite bit.
 2. However, research suggests that icon recognition may not involve *graphics cognition*.
 3. Hence icons may just be more *vocabulary*.

VII. Multi-Media (pp. 240-244).

- A. These are interfaces that include a mix of graphics, text, audio, video, animation, and hyper-links.
- B. They are intended to encourage interaction and exploration.
- C. The book notes some significant caveats with respect to multi-media interfaces:
 1. There is **General belief** that 'more is more', in implication being that this may not always be true.
 2. The 'Added value' of multi-media is **assumed**, often with little or no empirical evidence to back up the assumptions.
 3. Studies have shown that multi-media UIs May promote **fragmented interactions**, in that the flashier aspects of the interface may distract users from focusing on the task at hand.
- D. The book summarizes published guidelines that recommend the use of multi-media in the following order:
 1. To start an interactive session, *stimulate the user with audio/video*.
 2. Next, to focus on important information structure, *present high-level diagrams*.
 3. Finally, *show details in hypertext*.

VIII. Virtual reality and virtual environments (pp. 244-249).

- A. Such interfaces can create an illusion of participation in a seemingly realistic world.
- B. They can provide a sense of *presence*, meaning the user feels as if she or he is within the virtual environment.

- C. Physical input/output media include the following:
1. 3D projections or shutter glasses, for visual effects.
 2. Joystick controls, for 3-space navigation.
 3. Full headsets or "heads-up" displays, though the book reports that fully head-enclosing devices have been reported as problematically uncomfortable or constraining to users.
- D. There are two perspectives a user can assume in a virtual environment:
1. *First-person direct control*.
 - a. The user acts as her or himself within the environment, controlling and navigating directly.
 - b. Flight simulations and other training systems are examples of the first-person perspective.
 2. The other perspective is *third-person indirect control*.
 - a. The user interacts via an "avatar" or some other agent.
 - b. The avatar interacts in the environment, under the user's control or is simply observed by the user.
 - c. Interactive games are typically designed with a third-person perspective.
- E. The issue of 2D versus 3D space is a much debated topic; questions include:
1. Does 3D help with productivity?
 2. Does it help with engagement?
 3. Is it more fun?
- F. Design issues for virtual reality and environments include:
1. the degree of realism,
 2. the types of input/output,
 3. the types of user cognition involved in navigation
 4. in general, what it takes for user to "suspend disbelief", in order to feel present within a realistic space.

IX. Information Visualization (pp. 249-251).

- A. These forms of interface provide visual abstraction for large data sets, e.g., geographic data.
- B. They also provide alternate views for complex data, such as large amounts of statistical information summarized with varying sizes and colors of geometric shapes
- C. Successful application areas include:
1. *geographic data*, where users are provided with sophisticated ways to zoom and pan the data;
 2. *algorithm animation*, where aspects of program behavior are shown visually as a program runs;
 3. *other interesting attempts*, such as
 - a. Marketmap -- provides a geometric visualization of stock market activity,
infosthetics.com/archives/2005/08/smartmoney_mark.html
 - b. Newsmap -- does a similar form of geometric visualization of world-wide news stories,
marumushi.com/apps/newsmap/newsmap.cfm
- D. R&D issues for data visualizations,
1. appropriate spatial metaphors,
 2. 2D versus 3D (again).
 3. Do visualizations really work? (Check out the preceding links to see what you think.)

X. Web-based UIs (pp. 251-258).

- A. There is on-going debate about whether to have "vanilla" or "multi-flavor" web UIs.
- B. Guru Nielson says vanilla.

- C. Many others say glitz.
- D. The world jury is *way out* on this.
- E. As always in any interaction design effort, plead to your own jury.
 - a. **Know your users.** (Have we mentioned that yet?)
 - b. And know what you want from them.
- F. Regarding all of the text that's out there in webspace -- do people read any of it?
 - 1. Recent research says web travelers read around 20% of it.
 - 2. See useit.com for a discussion (you can read about 20% of it to get the idea).
- G. Web design issues.
 - 1. There are gazillions of guidelines.
 - 2. There is also copious research.
 - 3. Increasingly, issues of web UI design are much the same as they are for non-web UIs.
 - 4. Given navigational aspects of web UIs, they *may be* be orgznized around the following user questions.
 - a. *Where am I?*
 - b. *What's here?*
 - c. *Where can I go?*
 - 5. However, there are many desktop UIs for which these questions are equally appropriate, and conversely, there are web-based UIs for which these questions are not particularly important. (See Figure 6.21.)

XI. **Speech (pp. 258-260).**

- A. Speech has been used successfully in certain applications.
- B. IVRs are coming along (Interactive Voice-Response systems).
- C. Research and design issues:
 - 1. Despite the progress, there is *much* still to do.
 - 2. Parsing remains a major problem.
 - 3. Genuine two-way conversation is difficult.
 - 4. Most speech APIs are quite complicated, e.g.
 - a. Sun's **FreeTTS** synthesizer, freetts.sourceforge.net/docs/index.php
 - b. CMU's **Sphinx-4** recognizer, cmusphinx.sourceforge.net/sphinx4
 - c. CMU's **Speech Graffiti** www.cs.cmu.edu/~usi

XII. **Pen, gesture, touch (pp. 258-260).**

- A. Pen-based products started in 1990s.
- B. Much R&D continues.
- C. R&D issues include:
 - 1. distinguishing among different gestures;
 - 2. gesture accuracy and efficiency compared to keyboard and mouse.

XIII. **Appliance UIs (pp. 264-265).**

- A. Your toaster and frig with brains.
- B. Design issues:
 - 1. Keep it simple (*really*, this time).
 - 2. Tradeoffs between hard vs soft UIs, e.g., using knobs and levers to control your toaster, versus an LCD.

XIV. 21st Century UIs (Section 6.3.3).

- A. We'll cover these later in the quarter, when we discuss the world-enveloping field of ubiquitous computing.
- B. We'll also cover a number of the preceding topics in further depth, in particular visualizations and speech.