CSC 484 Lecture Notes Week 3, Part 1

(Re)Introducing Evaluation

I. Relevant reading.

A. Textbook Chapter 12

B. Papers of the fortnight:

CSC484-S08-L3.1

• Storyboarding: An Empirical Determination of Best Practices and Effective Guidelines

• Developing Use Cases and Scenarios in the Requirements Process

II. Class Schedule.

-- see the notes and handout

III. Assignment 2.

-- see the notes and handout

IV. Intro to class project.

-- see the notes and handout

CSC484-S08-L3.1

V. Intro to evaluation (Sec 12.1)

- A. Collect info about users.
- B. Multiple possible methods.
- C. You've done it in A1; there's more to come.

VI. Why, what, where, when (Section 12.2).

A. Why?

- 1. Check that users can do something useful.
- 2. Check that they like it.

B. What?

- 1. Evaluate the product itself.
- 2. Evaluate domain-specific attributes:
 - performance,
 - aesthetics,
 - physical characteristics.

C. Where?

- 1. Evaluate in controlled lab setting.
- 2. Evaluate in natural settings of use.

D. When?

- 1. At any stage of development.
- 2. Concept evaluations at the beginning.
- 3. Specific new features when upgraded.
- 4. Finished product, standards compliance.

VII. Evaluation terminology (Box 12.1).

- A. Analytic eval -- not with actual end users
- B. Controlled experiment -- actual users in controlled setting

Evaluation terminology, cont'd

- C. Field study -- real-world use
- D. Formative eval -- done during design
- E. Heuristic eval -- employ well-known guidelines, expert judgments

Evaluation terminology, cont'd

F. Predictive eval -- employ theoretical models

G. Summative eval -- ensure standards are met

Evaluation terminology, cont'd

H. *Usability lab* -- designed specifically for usability studies

I. User study -- any kind of study, at any stage

Evaluation terminology, cont'd

J. Usability testing -- a quantified study

K. *User testing* -- have users perform specific tasks

CSC484-S08-L3.1

VIII. Approaches and methods (Section 12.3).

- A. Three main approaches:
 - usability testing
 - field studies
 - analytic evaluation.

B. Used at various stages, separately or in combination.

CSC484-S08-L3.1

IX. Approaches (Section 12.3.1).

A. Usability testing

- 1. Done in a lab.
- 2. Well controlled by evaluators.

Usability testing, cont'd

3. Test subjects must focus.

4. Quantified performance:

Usability testing, cont'd

- a. All users do the same tasks.
- b. A form of regression testing.
- c. Used with successive product releases.
- d. Called "usability engineering".

Approaches, cont'd

B. Field studies

- 1. Done in users' natural settings.
- 2. Subjects are observed, recorded.
- 3. Asked to fill out questionnaires.

Approaches, cont'd

C. Analytic evaluation

- 1. Using heuristics or models.
- 2. Does not involve actual end users.
- 3. Rather, conducted by developers.

Approaches, cont'd

4. Heuristics characterize typical behavior.

- 5. Models characterize measurable behavior.
- 6. E.g., Fitt's law.

Approaches, cont'd

- 7. Cognitive walkthroughs simulate users.
- 8. Analytic eval never a replacement for actual end user testing.

X. Methods (Sec 12.3.2).

- A. The main methods:
 - 1. Observing users.
 - a. In a lab.
 - b. In the field.
 - c. With direct or indirect contact.
 - d. Recording in various ways.

Methods, cont'd

- 2. Asking users their opinions
 - a. Individual in-person interviews.
 - b. Group meetings, discussions
 - c. Questionnaires.

Methods, cont'd

- 3. Asking experts their opinions.
- 4. Testing users' performance.
- 5. Modeling users' performance.

B. Table 12.1 is useful summary.

XI. Case studies (Section 12.4).

- A. The book provides six.
- B. Overview of evaluation methods in 484:
 - 1. Heuristic evaluation in Assignment 1.
 - 2. Interview, questionnaire in Assignment 2.
 - 3. Lab-based usability study in Assignment 3.

CSC484-S08-L3.1